

In the Court of Sri Amit Shekhar, Additional Judicial Commissioner-XV, Ranchi

A.B.P. No.- 1270/2026

CNR:-JHRN010058362026

(Arising out of Mahila Thana Ranchi P.S. Case No. - 14/2026)

Schedule XLII – High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164.]

Serial No.	Date of Order of Proceeding	Order with signature of the court.	Office notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	06.07.2026	Abhishek Kumar Kushwaha @ Abhishek Kumar S/o Basudeo Kumar aged about 25 years Accused/petitioner Vrs The State of Jharkhand Prosecution Counsel for the petitioner: Sri Ajoy Kr. Manjhi, Ld. Advocate Counsel for the prosecution: Sri Siddharth Singh, Ld. APP Counsel for the informant: Ms Seema Srivastava, Ld. Advocate <u>ORDER</u> The Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioner Abhishek Kumar Kushwaha @ Abhishek Kumar apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila Thana Ranchi P.S. Case No.14/2026, Dated 06.05.2026, registered for the offence punishable u/s 69, 351(2) of BNS is placed today for order with Case Diary and Lower Case Record. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner appeared and submitted that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case by the informant due to ulterior motive. That the informant/victim is a 32 years old and the petitioner is aged about 24 years only. Actually, he is a victim in this case and informant is trying to extort him by filing a false case against him. As per circumstances, it can not be believed that a 32 years old girl became ready to make physical relation with a boy only on the promise of marriage. He is ready to cooperate in the investigation. He has no any criminal antecedent, therefore, he may be allowed on Anticipatory bail. Ld. Addl.PP vehemently opposed the prayer of the	Contd....

In the Court of Sri Amit Shekhar, Additional Judicial Commissioner-XV, Ranchi

A.B.P. No.- 1270/2026

CNR:-JHRN010058362026

(Arising out of Mahila Thana Ranchi P.S. Case No. - 14/2026)

Schedule XLII – High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164.]

Serial No.	Date of Order of Proceeding	Order with signature of the court.	Office notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	Contd...2 06.07.2026	petitioner and submitted that witnesses in this case have supported the prosecution case and therefore, he may not be allowed on bail. I have heard both the sides and perused the case record. The prosecution case in brief is that the informant/victim is aged about 32 years and in the year 2023 she became friend of the petitioner- on Facebook and thereafter love affairs was started in between them. It is alleged that on the pretext of marriage, on several occasions, he made sexual relation with her and when she asked for the marriage, he demanded two months time however, after lapse of two months when her parents went to the house of petitioner to talk about their marriage, he denied for the same. It is further alleged that many times he promised to marry with her and when she expressed her desire to marry with another person then he told that he will not let the marriage happen. Hence, the present case. From perusal of case diary, it appears that the I.O has recorded the statement of the informant in para-2 and statement of witnesses in para-06, 15 and 16 of the CD wherein they have supported the prosecution case. The statement recorded u/s 183 BNSS of the victim has been mentioned in para -20 of the CD in which she has supported her case and stated that on the pretext of marriage, the petitioner has established sexual relation with her and lastly he refused to marry with her. From perusal of the case record it appears that there is direct and specific allegation against him. Keeping in view of the aforementioned facts and	Contd....

In the Court of Sri Amit Shekhar, Additional Judicial Commissioner-XV, Ranchi

A.B.P. No.- 1270/2026

CNR:-JHRN010058362026

(Arising out of Mahila Thana Ranchi P.S. Case No. - 14/2026)

Schedule XLII – High Court (J) 9a [Old (M) 164.]

Serial No.	Date of Order of Proceeding	Order with signature of the court.	Office notice taken with date.
1	2	3	4
	Contd...3 06.07.2026	circumstances, noting the allegation against him and considering the nature of the offence, I am of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve Anticipatory bail. In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances including the nature of the offence, this court does not find it appropriate case to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner Abhishek Kumar Kushwaha @ Abhishek Kumar is hereby rejected. The petition is accordingly disposed off. (Dictated) Sd/- (Amit Shekhar) AJC-XV, Ranchi J.O Code JH00452	