

MHPU140007482026

**Cri. Bail Application No.-296/2026**

**Mayur Vasant Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra
through Vadgaon Nimbalkar P.S.**

(A)	CASE DETAILS	
	FIR Number & Date	32/2026, 21/02/2026
	Police Station, District and State	Vadgaon Nimbalkar Police Station, Baramati, Maharashtra
	Sections invoked	376 (2) (n), 323, 312, 504, 506 of IPC
	Maximum punishment prescribed	Imprisonment for life
(B)	CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE	
	Date of Arrest	22/02/2026
	Total period of custody undergone	Under UTP
(C)	STATUS OF TRIAL	
	Stage of proceedings (Investigation/Chargesheet/ Cognizance/Framing of Charges/Trial)	Charge-sheet is not filed and accused is under UTP
	Total number of witnesses cited in the chargesheet	Charge-sheet is not filed
	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	Charge-sheet is not filed
(D)	CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS	
	FIR No. & Police Station	No
	Sections	No
	Status (Pending/Acquitted Convicted)	No

(E)	PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS	BAIL	
	Court		No
	Case No.		No
	Outcome of case		No
(F)	COERCIVE PROCESSES		
	Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued		No
	Whether declared a proclaimed offender		No

ORDER BELOW EXH.-01

01] This is an application, filed by accused/applicant under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for granting regular bail from Crime No.32/2026, registered with Vadgaon Nimbalkar Police Station, punishable under Sections 376 (2) (n), 323, 312, 504 and 506 of Indian Penal Code.

02] The prosecution by filing say at Exh.06 and the victim by filing say at Exh.07, denied all allegations, contested the application on various grounds and requested for rejecting it.

03] Heard Ld. advocate Shri. Ajinkya Mahadik for accused/applicant and APP Shri Sandip Ohol for State. Perused record. Following points arise for determination and my findings thereon for the reasons recorded thereunder are as follows :-

Sr No.	Points	Findings
1.	Whether the accused/applicant is entitled to get relief of bail ?	<u>Yes.</u>
2.	What order ?	<u>As per final order.</u>

REASONS

AS TO POINT NOS-1 AND 2 :-

04] In support of the application neither the accused/applicant and in support of the say, nor the prosecution have produced on record any affidavit.

05] It reveals that, there are allegations against the accused that, in the month of January 2023 he by sending Instagram message started chatting with complainant/victim, 1 ½ months thereafter he called her in hotel at Katraj so as to meet him, inspite of her refusal of 02 to 03 occasions he repeated, she went in hotel at Katraj, at that time he snapped her photographs proposed for love relations by saying he is going to pass PSI examination, 1 to 1 ½ months thereafter he called her to meet in hotel on threat of doing viral her photographs and defame her, he took her hotel of his friend at Katraj, committed repeated rape on her, to avoid defamation she kept mum, on 22.04.2023 he again called her in hotel at Bhekrayee by giving same threats, there he committed rape on her, in the month of August-2023 he took her on his motorcycle in Lodge at Hadapsar and committed rape on her, she remained pregnant, he took her to doctor and caused termination of her pregnancy, in the last week of November 2023 he committed rape on her after giving same threat, beat her, gave promise of marriage and committed rape on her, due to his harassment, in

the month of 2024 she left his room, inspite of that on same threat and threat of killing her and her parents, she used to meet him at his room at Pune at the interval of 01 or 02 months, he used to commit rape on her, demand money from her and in case of non-compliance used to abuse, beat and threat her and also giving threats of committing suicide in case she married with other person.

06] Advocate of accused/applicant submitted that, the accused/applicant has not committed any offence, the accused/applicant and victim are resident of same village, they were knowing each other, there was no any necessity of knowing them with each other on Instagram, there were love relations in between them, they lived with each other in live in relations after taking rented room jointly, the relations were consensual, there is delay of more than 2 ½ years in lodging FIR, though the application was filed before filing the chargesheet, now the chargesheet is filed, the investigation is over, since 22.02.2026 he is in custody, his custodial detention is at all not necessary, the alleged offences cannot be attracted, the punishment provided for the same is not death or life, the FIR is came to be lodged after break up of relations, personal disputes and quarrel, he is poor labourer has no any criminal background, bread earner in family, ready to give appropriate bail and abide by it's conditions and if not released on bail, will suffer an irreparable loss.

07] The complainant and prosecution objected and APP submitted that, the accused/applicant has committed very serious offence of committing repeated rape on the complainant and causing termination of her pregnancy on pretext of doing viral her photographs and of performance of marriage, he also blackmailed her on the basis of said

photographs, demanded money from her, abused, beat and threatened her and if he is released on bail, he will pressurize and tamper prosecution witnesses, will repeat similar kind of the offences and will abscond.

08] After hearing arguments of Ld. advocate of accused/applicant and of APP, I have gone through the record.

09] It reveals that, the complainant/victim and the accused are resident of same village and were friends as is seen from her FIR itself.

10] It appears that, there were no allegations in FIR about committing rape by the accused/applicant after giving assurance of marriage to the complainant/victim, at first instance. The allegations are in respect of doing viral her photographs taken at the time of their first meeting in hotel at Katraj, which according to her taken place in the month of March 2023. She was in a position to lodge FIR alleging his such threats. Only on having photographs taken in hotel, it is difficult to accept one lady having 23 years of age and doing service will go in Lodge, reside there for whole night, allow the accused/applicant to commit repeated rape and kept mum for more than 2 ½ years.

11] There also appears that, the complainant/victim and the accused were resided in live in relations jointly, got terminated her pregnancy in the month of August 2023 by saying husband and wife, she is providing money to him and till lodging complaint she herself by visiting his room after interval of 01 or 02 months is allowing him for sexual relations. It is also difficult to accept lady having 26 of age and doing service will do such things only on the basis of threat of becoming viral her

photographs and of committing her and her parents murder and keep mum for such considerable period without lodging FIR against him.

12] Advocate of accused/applicant thus appears to be rightly submitted that, there is need of inquiry into the relations of the complainant/victim and accused/applicant at the time of trial and there is no such strong prima facie case to keep him behind the bar, during entire period of completing the trial.

13] The objections of complainant/victim and the prosecution and the submissions of APP that, if the accused/applicant is released on bail, he will pressurize and tamper prosecution witnesses, will repeat similar kind of the offences and will abscond, can be taken care of, apart from completion of the investigation, by imposing stringent conditions for which accused/applicant is ready.

14] Considering facts, circumstances, evidence on record and legal position discussed above, I come to the conclusion that, the accused/applicant prima facie proved that, he is entitled to get relief of bail, but subject to certain conditions. Accordingly, point No.1 answered in the "Affirmative" and I pass the following order.

ORDER

1] Application is allowed as follows : -

i) The accused/applicant Shri. Mayur Vasant Jagtap, R/o. Murrum, Taluka-Baramati, District-Pune subject to following conditions, he be released on conditional bail on PR of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) and one or two sureties in the like amount, from Crime No.-32/2026, registered with Vadgaon Nimbalkar Police Station,

punishable under Sections 376 (2) (n), 323, 312, 504 and 506 of Indian Penal Code.

ii) The accused/applicant shall not abscond but attend the office of the investigating officer as and when ordered and also attend the dates fixed by the Court, without fail.

iii) The accused/applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, pressure or promise to any prosecution witnesses including the victim, shall not cause any danger to their life, body or property and shall not in any manner, tamper with the prosecution evidence.

iv) The accused/applicant shall not enter into village Nimbut Chapari, Taluka-Baramati, District-Pune, Pune City, Magarpatta area, as well as areas in which the complainant/victim and her parents are residing and doing services, professions, jobs etc.

v) The accused/applicant shall not commit similar kind of offences while he is on bail.

vi) The accused/applicant to furnish list of his three close relatives along with their names, addresses, address and identity proof, so as to approach them, in case he found unavailable.

2] Breach of any one of above conditions will be liable for cancellation of the bail.

3] Concerned clerk to do needful for sending soft copy of the bail order, by email, to the accused/applicant through the Jail Superintendent and also bring to the notice of the Court, if bail is not furnished by the accused/applicant, within 01 month from the date of the order.

Date:-06.05.2026.

(R. G. Malashetti)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Baramati

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same word for word as per original order.

Court Name :- R. G. Malashetti,
 Addl. Sessions Judge, Baramati

Name of Steno. :- S. Y. Shaikh, (Steno -Grade-1)

Date of order. :- 06.05.2026

Order signed by P.O. on :- 06.05.2026

Order uploaded on :- 06.05.2026