

HRSI010078462026



Presented on : 25-06-2026
Registered on : 25-06-2026
Decided on : 16-07-2026
Duration : 0 years, 0 months, 21 days

IN THE COURT OF
Addl District and Sessions Judge (FTSC/NDPS)
AT Sirsa, Sirsa
(Presided Over by Ms. Seema Singhal)
BA/2263/2026

Sajan Singh aged about 20 years son of Mangal Singh, resident of village Surewala, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana through Public Prosecutor.

...Respondent.

First application under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner in case FIR No.198 dated 10.06.2026, under Sections 21B/61/85 NDPS Act, Police Station Sadar Sirsa.

Present: Sh. Sunil Jangra, learned counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Munish Kumar, learned PP for State assisted by
ASI Babbu.

ORDER :

This order shall dispose of first anticipatory bail application filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter to be referred as BNSS) by accused-petitioner (hereinafter to be referred as petitioner) in case FIR No.198 dated 10.06.2026, under Sections 21B/61/85 NDPS Act, Police Station Sadar Sirsa.

2. Brief facts essential for the disposal of present bail application are that on 10.06.2026, co-accused Sonu was arrested for keeping 06 gram 250 milligram Heroin in his conscious possession without any permit or license in

the area of police station Sadar Sirsa after complying with the provisions of NDPS Act and he disclosed that recovered contraband was purchased by him from petitioner.

3. On notice, bail application was contested by the prosecution by filing reply, wherein all allegations leveled against the petitioner were reiterated.

4. The learned counsel for petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. He has no concern with shown recovery. He has been falsely implicated in present case by police on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Sonu which is not admissible in the eyes of law. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest at the hands of police. He is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions if any imposed by the Court. With these submissions it was prayed that bail application may be allowed.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor argued that petitioner is indulged in trafficking of narcotics. In this case, he sold the contraband to co-accused Sonu which was recovered by local police from his possession. The custodial interrogation of petitioner is required to know the source of said contraband and for the recovery of drug money. If bail is granted to him, it would effect the further investigation of case and facilitate the petitioner to repeat similar offence. Accordingly, the dismissal of bail application was prayed for.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the case file.

7. As per presented facts, there was recovery of 06 gram 250 milligram Heroin on 10.06.2026 from the conscious possession of co-accused

Sonu which was sold to him by petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery but at this stage, there is no reason to believe this oral plea of learned counsel for petitioner and disbelieve the prosecution case. An order of anticipatory bail is not a shield against any kind of accusation likely or unlikely. In this case, custodial interrogation of petitioner is required to disclose the source from where he had brought the recovered contraband and for the recovery of drug money. In case titled **State represented by the C.B.I. Versus Anil Sharma 1997(4) RCR (Criminal) 268** Hon'ble Apex Court observed that custodial interrogation is qualitatively more elicitation orientated than questioning a suspect who is on anticipatory bail. Keeping in view the present facts and circumstance, no exceptional circumstance is made out in favour of petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail which is an exceptional relief to be granted in extraordinary and sparing circumstances.

8. Keeping in view the above said observation, present bail application is hereby **dismissed**.

Papers be tagged with remand papers.

Pronounced in open Court,
On:16.07.2026.

(Seema Singhal)
Addl. Sessions Judge, FTSC,
Sirsa, (UID No. HR0108).

All the pages of this order have been checked
and signed by me.

(Seema Singhal)
Addl. Sessions Judge, FTSC,
Sirsa, (UID No. HR0108).

Typed by
Ajit Singh, Stenographer-I

Present: Sh. Sunil Jangra, learned counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Munish Kumar, learned PP for State assisted by
ASI Babbu.

Arguments heard. Vide separate order of even date, the present bail
application has been **dismissed**. Papers be tagged with remand papers.

Pronounced in open Court,
On:16.07.2026.

(Seema Singhal)
Addl. Sessions Judge, FTSC,
Sirsa, (UID No. HR0108).

Typed by
Ajit Singh, Stenographer-I