

**In the Court of Ms. Raj Gupta, Sessions Judge, Palwal . UID No.HR0110**

CNR No.:HRPL01-006111-2026

CIS No.: BA/1638 /2026

Bail Application No.269 of 2026

Date of Institution: 15.07.2026

Date of Decision: 23.07.2026

Khalid son of Abdul Ganj, aged about 30 years, resident of village Ghurawali,  
P.O. Uttawar, Tehsil Hathin, District Palwal

....Applicant

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent

FIR No: 60 dated 17.06.2025

U/Ss: / 190/ 191(3)/ 115(2)/ / 333/ 351(3) BNS (Sections  
109(1) 117(2) 238 BNS added later on)

Police Station, Uttawar.

Application for bail under Section 483 BNSS

Present: Shri R.P. Bainsla, Ld. counsel for the applicant.  
Shri Brij Mohan, Ld. Public Prosecutor for the State  
assisted by Shri Sunil Kaushik, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

ORDER:

By this order, I shall dispose of the application for regular bail  
filed by applicant Khalid arising out of FIR No. 60 dated 17.06.2025,  
registered at Police Station Uttawar.

Raj Gupta,  
Sessions Judge, Palwal

2            Notice of the application was given to the State, which filed its reply through the learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

3            In the present case, the criminal law was set into motion on the basis of the complaint dated 17.06.2025 given by complainant Najmudin, son of Sule Khan, resident of village Gurawali, against Khalid, Mubarik, Salim, Juber, Shokeen, Fakru, and Aadil. He alleged that on 12.06.2025, at about 6:30 pm, the above-said accused persons were indulged in gambling and were abusing each other near his house. As his house was quite near, ladies present in his house were listening to their abusive language. When he asked them to go to some other place, accused Khalid and other persons started abusing him. When he asked them not to do so, they threatened him to teach him a lesson in the future and went away. After 10-15 minutes, all the accused persons, being armed with lathis, dandas, farsas, and iron rods etc., came in front of his house, and on seeing them, due to fear, he entered into his house. Thereafter, the accused persons also followed him inside his house with the intention to kill him. Thereafter, accused Khalid shouted not to spare him and his family members and attacked him with a lathi, which narrowly missed the complainant. Thereafter, accused Mubarik attacked him with an iron rod, which also did not hit the complainant. Thereafter, all the accused persons started pelting stones upon his house. When he made rescue calls, his brother Nasir, Mujdhon, and small children of his family, including

Sarhin, also came there. Thereafter, accused Khalid hit his nephew Sarhin with a stone with intention to kill him, which hit him on his head, and he fell down. Thereafter, the injured was shifted to the hospital. On the basis of these allegations, the FIR was registered under Sections 190, 191(3), 115(2), 333, and 351(3) BNS. Subsequently, upon receiving the opinion of the doctor, Sections 117(2), 109(1), and 238 BNS were also added in the present case.

4           The applicant-accused Khalid has approached this Court seeking regular bail in connection with the present FIR. It is pertinent to note that the previous application for anticipatory bail filed by the applicant-accused was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 22.07.2025.

5           Learned Counsel for the applicant-accused has argued that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case due to an underlying monetary dispute between the parties. It is submitted that the allegations are vague, general, and lack specific intent. The learned counsel further contended that it was actually the complainant party and their associates who were pelting stones at the house of the accused. Since the applicant is now in custody and no further recovery is to be effected from him, his continuous incarceration serves no purpose. It is prayed that the applicant be granted the concession of regular bail, and he undertakes to abide by all terms and conditions imposed by this Court.

6           In response, the learned Public Prosecutor for the State, assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant, has vehemently opposed the regular bail application. It is argued that the offense is of a highly serious nature, involving a coordinated, armed attack on the complainant and his family inside their residence. The learned PP highlighted that the names of the accused persons are explicitly mentioned in the initial complaint with specific roles attributed to them. Furthermore, the electronic evidence in the form of CCTV/video footage clearly captures the presence and active participation of the applicant-accused at the spot, establishing a grave, joint liability in committing a serious offense. It is contended that releasing the applicant at this stage would prejudice the trial and hamper justice, hence requesting the outright dismissal of the application.

7           I have heard the detailed arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant-accused and the learned Public Prosecutor for the State, assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant, and have carefully perused the case file and the material available on record.

8           The allegations levelled against the applicant-accused are of an extremely grave and serious nature, involving an unlawful assembly armed with dangerous weapons that forcibly barged into the residential premises of the complainant, creating a scene of terror and launching an unprovoked attack. A perusal of the record reveals that the **Head injury sustained by**

child victim, on the basis of whose medical report section 109 BNS was added in the FIR, is attributed to the applicant only. The specific overt act of hurling a stone targeting the vital part of a minor child with such force and intention to cause death disentitles the applicant from seeking any equitable relief. Moreover, the presence and active involvement of the applicant-accused at the spot are further prima facie substantiated by the electronic evidence available on record. Considering the nature and gravity of the offense, the specific role assigned to the applicant, the severity of punishment provided under the law, and the apprehension of influencing witnesses if released, this Court finds no merit in the present application. Consequently, the present application for regular bail filed on behalf of applicant-accused Khalid is hereby **dismissed**. Nothing stated hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the main case. Files be consigned to the record room after due compliance.

Announced in open Court  
23.07.2026

(Raj Gupta)  
Sessions Judge, Palwal  
(UID No.HR-0110)

Sandeep-1

Note: All the five pages of this order have been checked  
and signed by me.

(Raj Gupta)

Raj Gupta  
Sessions Judge, Palwal

Khalid vs State BA 1638 of 2026

Present: Shri R.P. Bainsla, Ld. counsel for the applicant.  
Shri Brij Mohan, Ld. Public Prosecutor for the State  
assisted by Shri Sunil Kaushik, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

Reply to bail application filed. Arguments heard. Vide my  
separate order of even date, the bail in hand is hereby dismissed. File be  
consigned to records, after due compliance.

Raj Gupta  
Sessions Judge, Palwal.  
UID No. HR-0110  
23.07.2026

Sandeep-1

Raj Gupta  
Sessions Judge, Palwal