

State Vs. Satnam Singh

FIR No.59 dated 23.04.2021
U/S 379, 411 IPC
PS Nangal.

Present: Ld. APP for the State.
Sh. PS Pamma Advocate counsel for applicant/accused Satnam Singh.

Arguments heard on application seeking regular bail of accused/applicant Mangal Singh in FIR No.59 dated 26/04/2021 PS Nangal under Sections 379, 411 IPC. Notice of the bail application served upon state through Ld. APP. Written reply not filed. However, application is opposed on the ground that heavy recovery is effected from accused. Thus, he is not entitled to concession of bail.

I have heard arguments and perused the police record. As per the police record accused was arrested on 26.04.2021 and since then he is in custody. Recovery has already been effected. Therefore accused is no more required for custodial interrogation. Filing of challan and trial thereafter, will take sufficiently long time. As such, no purpose shall be served by keeping the accused behind bars. Rather, he will be an extra burden on the state exchequer. Thus, keeping in view above-mentioned facts and circumstance of case, present bail application stands allowed. Accordingly, accused is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing bail bond/surety bonds in sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bonds and surety bonds on behalf of accused furnished, which are attested and accepted. The bail application stands disposed of. Release warrants of accused be issued immediately. Papers be attached with the remand papers of this case. Police record be returned.

Date of Order: 29.04.2021
Gurmail Kumar, Steno-III

(Shaveta Thakur, PCS)
CJJD/JMIC/Nangal
UID No.:PB0537