

Cri.Misc Appli.No.4146/25

**:: Order below Application of release of
Muddamal u/s.497 & 503 of Bhartiya Nagarik
Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by Victim ::**

~~~~~

| <u>Appearance</u> |   |                         |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Applicant-side    | : | Ld.Advocate :- B.M.Maru |
| Prosecution-side  | : | Ld.A.P.P.:- K.K.Yogi    |

The Applicant has filed present application to release amount which has been freezed by the Police/Cyber Crime Unit. The Applicant is victim of Cyber Fraud and as and when the applicant came to know about the fraud which has been occur with the applicant, the applicant immediately taken help of Cyber Crime Unit and the amount which was transferred from his/her account was freezed by the Cyber Crime Unit. The Applicant has prayed before this Court to release the amount which has been freezed by the Cyber Crime Unit. The Details of the Applicant is as under:

| Sr. No. | Name                          | Bank Details                                        | Amount (Cheated)   | Bank Details of Suspicious Person                    | Freezed Amount   |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1       | <b>Mayur Kevaji Prajapati</b> | <b>Union Bank of India, A/c No.5201011999 30585</b> | <b>Rs.10,000/-</b> | <b>Indian Overseas Bank, A/c No.03990200000 1495</b> | <b>Rs.9000/-</b> |

| Sr. No. | Date of Complaint | Ticket / Complaint No. |
|---------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1.      | <b>12.01.2025</b> | <b>31101250010337</b>  |

The **Police Inspector, Vasna Po.St.** has opined that on the complaint of the complainant above referred amount was freezed in suspicious Bank Account. He has

clearly opined that the amount may be returned to the applicant, as per the conditions framed by the Hon'ble Court.

Looking to the facts of the case, there is no FIR has been filed in present case and no one has been arrested in this regard. No one has come before to release the freezed amount except the applicant. As per the opinion of the competent authority i.e. Police, the account in which amount is freezed found suspicious and hence amount is required to be returned to the applicant. Before deciding present application, provisions of Section-503 is required to be taken into consideration, which reads as under.

**Sec.503.Procedure by police upon seizure of Property.**

- (1) *Whenever the seizur of property by any police officer is reported to a Magistrate Under the Provisions of this code, and such Property is not produced before a Criminal Court during an inquiry or trial, the Magistrate may make such order as he thinks fit respecting the disposal of such property or the delivery of such property to the person entitled to the possession there of, of such person cannot be ascertained, respecting the custody and production of such property.*
- (2) *If the person so entitled is known the Magistrate may order the property to be delivered to him on such conditions (if any) as the Magistrate thinks fit and if such person is unknown, the Magistrate may detain it and shall, in such case, issue a proclamation specifying the articles of which such poerty consists, and requiring and person who may have a claim thereto, to appear before him and establesh his claim within six months from the date of such Proclamation.*

In view of the above provisions, as per section-503(1) the Magistrate is empowered to release the property which was seized by the Police Officer during investigation. Further, the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in **Govind Tejabhai Bariya Vs. State of Gujarat, 2001(2) GLH 726** has held in para no.(9.2), (10) and (22) as under:

- (9.2)..... Shri Pandya has cited so many authorities with regard to legal possession of Sec. and Sec. of CrPC. Here in this case owner lodged a complaint in the police station for which offence has been registered for theft having been committed in respect of two vehicles. That two vehicles have been found from the possession of the purchaser but at the same time the investigating Officer has come to a conclusion that complainant has filed a false case and therefore muddamal vehicles are seized during the course

of the police investigation and Investigating Officer has reported about such seizure of two vehicles, from the purchaser to the Court and therefore Section 457 of CrPC will be applicable. In no case Sec.451 Cr.P.C. will be applicable to this case. Sec.457 of Cr.P.C. Reads as follows:

"Sec.457 Procedure by police upon seizure of Property:

- [(a) Whenever the seizure of property by any police officer is reported to a Magistrate under the provisions of this Code, and such property is not produced before a Criminal Court during and inquiry of trial, the Magistrate may make such order as he thinks fit respecting the disposal of such property or the delivery of such property to the person entitled to the possession thereof, or if such person cannot be ascertained, respecting the custody and production of such property.]
- "(2) If the person so entitled is known, the Magistrate may order the property to be delivered to him on such condition (if any) as the Magistrate thinks fit and if such person is unknown, the Magistrate may detain it and shall in such case issue a proclamation specifying the articles of which such property consists, and requiring any person who may have a claim thereto, to appear before him and establish his claim within six months from the date of such proclamation."
- (10) On reading aforesaid section, it is crystal clear that words "may make such order as he thinks fit" are of much importance. When word 'may' is used discretion has been given to the learned Magistrate, of course that discretion is to be exercised judicially keeping in mind the well settled principles of law. The words "to the person entitled to the possession thereof" are also important and relevant. From the papers if the learned Magistrate has come to a conclusion that particular person is entitled to the possession of the vehicles then he can pass the order directing the muddamal article to be handed over to that person. From record it appears that the purchaser has paid Rupees 3,10,000/- to the owner of the vehicles and society was knowing about the fact that owner has already vehicles to purchaser.
- (22) As discussed earlier, when the learned Magistrate has followed the decision of this Court, in Hussain Amitbhai Qureshi (supra), it cannot be said that he has passed an order contrary to well settled legal position with regard to return of muddamal articles under Sec.457 of CrPC pending the inquiry.

In view of the above discussion, fact of the case, this Court is of the view that there will not be any dispute regarding identification of muddamal in future. Further as held in the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat, 2003(1) G.L.H. 307**, the application is required to be allowed and in the interest of justice, I pass the following order.

**:: ORDER ::**

The application of applicant is hereby allowed u/s.503 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,2023.

The Applicant is ordered to provide guarantor of one and half time amount which were freezed by the Cyber Crime Unit before concerned police station and Investigation Officer is directed to release the amount as interim custody in the Bank of Applicant as mentioned above, on following conditions.

**Conditions:**

- 1) *The Applicant is directed to produce amount of Muddamal before this Court as and when requires.*
- 2) *If the Account Holder of Freezed account claims the money in future, in that case, the applicant has to surrender the amount which is transferred in his account.*
- 3) *Muddamal amount should not used in any illegal act.*
- 4) *In case of breach of any condition, interim order of Muddamal amount will automatically cancelled and the amount of muddamal will seized and amount of guarantor will be required to be deposited before this Court.*

The copy of this order be forwarded to the Applicant's Bank through concern Police as mentioned above and the Bank Officials are directed to transfer the Freezed Amount in the Account of Applicant and inform the Concerned Police Station Accordingly.

Signed and pronounced in open Court today.

Dt.21-04-2025.

**[Sanat Jayantilal Panchal]**  
Addi.Chief Judicial Magistrate,  
Ahmedabad (City). Code : GJ00864.