

**In the Court of Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Gangarampur at Buniadpur, Dakshin Dinajpur.**

S.T No. 78 of 2024
Sess. Case No. 68 of 2022
Com. Reg. No. 61 of 2022
CNR No. WBDD05-000259-2022
State of West Bengal
VS

**1. Raghumuddin Ahamed, 2. Alauddin Ahamed, 3. Akramul Hoque,
4. Aklima Begum, 5. Manjuara Begum, 6. Abul Kalam and
7. Guljar Hossain.**

Order No.01
04.07.2024

Accused persons **1. Raghumuddin Ahamed, 2. Alauddin Ahamed, 3. Akramul Hoque, 4. Aklima Begum, 5. Manjuara Begum, 6. Abul Kalam and 7. Guljar Hossain** on court bail are absent by petition. Subsequently all the accused persons are present by filing hazira.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

The Ld. Addl. P.P.-in-Charge for the State is also present.

Heard. Considered. Perused the record and the documents contained therein.

There appears substantial grounds on the basis of the materials on record to frame charge against the accused persons namely **1. Raghumuddin Ahamed, 2. Alauddin Ahamed, 3. Akramul Hoque, 4. Aklima Begum, 5. Manjuara Begum, 6. Abul Kalam and 7. Guljar Hossain** for offences punishable under section **341/302/34** of the I.P.C.

The Charges so framed in a separate proforma is kept with the record.

The contents of the said charges are read over and explained to the accused to which they individually plead not guilty by saying '**Ami Nirdosh**' and claim to be tried.

After the charge is framed, the Ld. Defence Counsel is directed to consult with the accused to understand if there are documents in the record(supplied to them in compliance of provisions of section 207 Cr.P.C.) on which the prosecution will rely upon in the evidence which will be admitted to by the accused/ defence.

Contd.....

S.T No. 78 of 2024
Sess. Case No. 68 of 2022

Contd. Order No.01
04.07.2024

After consultation the Ld. Advocate submits that they will not be admitting to any of the documents on which the prosecution seeks to rely on (as supplied to them in compliance of provisions of section 207 Cr.P.C). a declaration to that effect is also filed which is duly signed by the accused and the Ld. Defence Counsel.

On discussion with both the prosecution and the defence it is agreed that only CSW-1 be called on the first date as there is no guarantee that the evidence of CSW-1 will be completed in a single day. It is submitted that after the evidence of CSW-1 is completed a schedule of dates may be fixed.

Considering the reasonableness of the submission of the Ld. Counsel only a single date for evidence of CSW-1 is fixed.

Fix **23.12.2024** for evidence of CSW-1.

Prosecution to take steps.

D/C by me

**Addl. Dist. & Sess. Judge,
Gangarampur at Buniadpur,
Dakshin Dinajpur.**

**(Melissa Gurung)
(WB-00842)
Addl. Dist. & Sess. Judge,
Gangarampur at Buniadpur,
Dakshin Dinajpur.**