

State Vs. Karamveet Singh

FIR No.50 /2019

U/S 324,326,342,506,34 IPC

PS : Garhshankar

Application for Regular Bail.

Present Sh S. K. Lumb Adv counsel for accused/applicant
Sh Charanjit Singh APP for the State.

ORDER

Reply to bail application not filed. However, APP for the State contested the application orally.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant argued that applicant is innocent man, who has been falsely implicated in this case and he deserves to be released on bail as he is in custody since long.

3 Per contra, learned APP for the state argued that applicant/accused Karamveet Singh along with their co-accused had inflicted simple as well as grievous injuries with kirpan on the person of complainant Kulraj Singh . So, he does not get the concession of bail.

4. I have heard counsel for accused/applicants and APP for the State and perused the record carefully.

5. The allegations against the accused are grave. Accused /applicant Karamveet Singh alleged to have been armed with Kirpan at the time of perpetration of the alleged offence along with their accomplices and with common intention inflicted injuries upon Kulraj Singh with kirpan and injuries No.4 and 6 were declared grievous in nature as per photocopy of injury report placed on record by the prosecution . Keeping in view of nature of allegations and the injuries inflicted, I am of the considered opinion that if enlarge on bail, the applicant Karamveet Singh is likely to interfere in investigation and influence the witnesses. The likelihood of applicant-accused absconding is also there. Thus, I do not find any merits in the application which is hereby dismissed. Papers be attached with record under rules.

Announced.

14.05.2019

(Balbir Steno)

(Amandeep Kamboj)

Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,

Garhshankar.

UID No.PB0351