

Present: APP for the State.

Sh. O.P.Rajoria, Adv. Counsel for the accused-applicant.

Heard on the bail application moved by applicant-accused Sayakat. Applicant averred that the accused was granted bail and he appeared time to time in the remand paper but the investigating agency presented the challan in above noted case. While presenting the challan the accused was not informed nor compliance of the accused under Section 207 Cr.P.C made while the accused Sayakat was appearing the remand papers of the same. The accused/applicant is falsely implicated in the above noted case and is innocent person. No alleged offence has been committed by the accused/applicant. The accused undertakes to comply with the all the conditions to be imposed by the Court and came present in the court on each and every date of hearing.

2. Notice of the bail application was given to the prosecution. Learned APP orally opposed the bail application but did not file any written reply.

3. I have heard the contentions and counter-contentions of learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the accused-applicant and have gone through judicial file very carefully.

3. Heard. From the perusal of the file, it is observed that the accused/applicant was granted bail under Section 167 (2) Cr.P.C on 09.01.2014. The challan against the accused was presented on 31.08.2017. Thereafter, time and again counsel for the applicant/accused was directed to produce him before the Court. But when he did not come present then on 27.02.2018 he was ordered to be summoned through nonailable warrants of arrest. But when even then, his presence could not be procured, then he was declared a proclaimed person on 20.12.2018.

It is also observed that thereafter, the accused moved an bail application on 11.10.2019 but the said application was dismissed by Ms. Aman Sharma, learned