

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,1st COURT
DAKSHIN DINAJPUR AT
BALURGHAT**

PRESENT : Shri Ansuman Chattopadhyay
Addl. District Judge-1
Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat
JO Code : WB00-820.

MATRI SUIT NO.323 OF 2025
Computer Regn.No.323 of 2025
CNR : WBDD01-002369-2025

ORDER NO. 08
DT. 24.07.2026

Today is fixed for exparte order.

No step taken by the respondent/wife.

Petitioner/husband files hazira through Ld. Advocate.

It is observed that the summons sent to the respondent/wife in this case was at an address which is not duly reflected as per the contention of paragraph No.10 of the plaint as well as the schedule of the property described in the deed of gift marked as Exhibit-4.

Moreover, the S.R further reveals that the sister-in-law of the respondent/wife had refused to accept the summons since the respondent was purportedly living in Kolkata at that point of time.

In such circumstances, for abundant precaution, I find that the summons should once again be sent to the respondent/wife at her address as elaborated in the schedule of Exhibit-4 read with paragraph No.10 of the plaint.

The case be adjourned.

Fix 05.09.2026 for S.R and A.D.

Petitioner/husband to file fresh requisites at once.

Dictated & Corrected by

A.D& S.J-1

Balurghat

Addl. District Judge-1
Dakshin Dinajpur at

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, 1st COURT
DAKSHIN DINAJPUR AT
BALURGHAT**

PRESENT : Shri Ansuman Chattopadhyay
Addl. District Judge-1
Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat
JO Code : WB00-820.

Misc. Case No.05 OF 2025
Computer Regn.No.05 of 2025
CNR : WBDD01-001299-2025

ORDER NO. 27
DT. 24.07.2026

Today is fixed for exparte hearing of the Misc. Case.

No step taken by the OP/husband.

Petitioner/wife files Lawyer's hazira.

The original suit bearing No.75 of 2025 has been dismissed today for default by way of previous order bearing No.26, dated instant.

The present Misc. Case was initiated for alimony pendente lite u/s.24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, without any prayer for permanent alimony u/s.25 of the said statute.

Since the original suit had already been dismissed for default, there is no further justification of considering the prayer for alimony pendente lite.

The present Misc. Case is also dropped and disposed accordingly.

Dictated & Corrected by

A.D& S.J-1

Balurghat

Addl. District Judge-1
Dakshin Dinajpur at