

**BAIL APPLICATION U/S 439 CR.PC (REGULAR BAIL) OF
APPLICANT/ACCUSED ANAND**

BAIL REGISTRATION NO : 3557/2022

FIR No : 1056/2022

U/S : 420/467/468/471/201/120B/34 IPC

PS : SUBHASH PALACE

STATE Vs: ANAND

02.12.2022

Present: Shri V.K. Negi, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Javed Ahmad, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO/ASI Upender Singh in person.

By way of this application u/s 439 Cr.P.C, regular bail has been sought by the applicant/accused namely **Anand**.

Reply to the bail application has been filed by the IO concerned. The same is kept on record. Copy supplied.

Allegations in the present case are that the complainant M/s. Bajaj Finance Limited lodged a complaint with the PS Subhash Palace against the present accused alongwith co-accused persons stating therein that the present accused alongwith co-accused persons falsely and fraudulently represented them as practicing doctors and successfully availed loan from the complainant bank and provided their documents pertaining to their identity address and income proof i.e. Pan Card, Aadhar Card, Bank Statement and Government Employee ID. After completion of basic formalities and documentation, loan amounts to the tune of Rs. 56 lacs were disbursed to the present accused as well as co-accused persons accounts and after some time their EMI started to bounce. After that on the basis of the compliant, a case under Section 420/467/468/471/201/120B/34 IPC was registered against the present

applicant/accused.

Submissions on behalf of the accused:-

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for accused that accused has been falsely implicated in the instant matter and accused has nothing to do with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the accused and accused is completely innocent person. It is further submitted that the accused has applied for issuance of credit card nor he has been supplied any credit card by the bank. No evidence is available with the prosecution to connect the accused with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that accused belongs to educated and respectable family and accused has been running his business of property dealing. Accused bears clean antecedents. Hence, no purpose would be served to keep the accused behind the bar and therefore, the bail should be granted to him.

Submissions of Ld. Addl. PP for the State assisted by IO:

Per contra, bail application is vehemently opposed by the IO on the ground that investigation is at the initial stage and offences are serious and grave in nature against the accused. Accordingly, bail should not be granted to the accused.

Findings:

Considering the fact that the investigation is at the initial stage and also considering the nature & gravity of offence, I am not inclined to grant the bail to the accused **Anand**. Accordingly, bail application stands **dismissed**.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

(JITENDRA SINGH)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi
02.12.2022