

**IN THE COURT OF NAVDEEP KAUR GILL,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE (UID NO. PB0297),
SAS NAGAR.**

Case Type	Anticipatory Bail Application
CNR Number	PBSA-0100-5972-2026
Bail Application Regd. Number	BA-1789-2026
Date of Order	06.07.2026

Bikramjit Singh, aged about 37 years, son of Surinder Pal Singh, resident of house no.1210, New Sunny Enclave, Phase-2, Hussainpur, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent

FIR No.151 dated 02.06.2026
Under Section: 85, 126 and 351 of BNS, 2023.
Police Station: Balongi, District SAS Nagar.

Bail Application Under Section 482 of BNSS.

Present: Sh. Kartik Bector, Advocate Counsel for the petitioner.
Sh. Ravinder Singh, Learned Additional P.P. for the State.

ORDER:

1. This order of mine shall dispose of bail application filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail u/s 482 of BNSS, arising out of above said FIR.
2. Notice of the bail application was issued to the State and complainant. Learned Addl.PP for the State has put in appearance and contested the bail application. Record of the investigation was produced. Complainant Manisha had also appeared in person and both the parties were also sent to Mediation Centre, SAS Nagar, Mohali for reconciliation

but the matter could not be settled. Even the court had intervened and tried to convince the parties for amicable settlement but despite strenuous efforts, compromise could not be effected between them.

3. Succinctly stated, the case of the prosecution is that victim Gurjot Kaur daughter of Late Sukhpal Singh filed a complaint against her husband Bikramjit Singh and his parents Surinder Pal Singh and Kulwinder Kaur. During the inquiry, it was found that Gurjot and Bikramjit met in the year 2019 and decided to marry, and eventually got married on 27.11.2022, after his parents agreed. Gurjot alleged that about ₹35 lakh was spent on the marriage and that she was later taunted for insufficient dowry and pressured to bring a bigger car despite a Tata Punch already being given. The inquiry found that the Tata Punch had been purchased before the marriage and remained in Gurjot's possession, with no evidence that it was bought on demand. Gurjot was diagnosed with a Tailgut Cyst in August 2023 and alleged that her husband and in-laws pressured her to have a child despite medical advice, causing mental stress. She also stated that her mother paid for her treatment and that she was forced to leave the matrimonial home on 30.03.2025, though Bikramjit claimed she left voluntarily. Gurjot further alleged mental and physical harassment, threats, humiliation, and denial of entry to her matrimonial home. She also claimed to have seen Bikramjit with another woman on 26.02.2026, but the inquiry noted that this alone did not establish any offence, though further investigation was recommended. Allegations of caste-related remarks were found inconsistent, as Bikramjit

had knowingly married her despite caste differences. After reviewing the material, the inquiry found sufficient grounds to indicate that Bikramjit subjected Gurjot to mental and physical cruelty, harassment, threats, and obstruction from entering her matrimonial home. However, there was no specific evidence directly implicating Surinder Pal Singh and Kulwinder Kaur. Allegations regarding dowry articles, stridhan, and gifts could not be fully verified due to lack of supporting documents. The inquiry therefore recommended registration of a criminal case against Bikramjit Singh under Sections 85, 126, and 351 BNS, while the role of his parents and other allegations were left for further investigation. Now apprehending his arrest, petitioner Bikramjit Singh has filed the present anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that vague allegations have been leveled against him and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the present case as he is ready to co-operate with the investigating agency. It is further alleged that totally false and frivolous story has been concocted by the complainant. It has been arduously argued that complainant is having high wishes. It has been fervently argued that complainant has left the house of the petitioner without any reasonable cause or excuse on their part. It has been arduously submitted that allegations levelled by the complainant are absolutely vague, baseless and without any evidence against the petitioner. He has, thus, prayed that the present bail application may kindly be allowed and petitioner may be granted pre-arrest bail.

5. On the other hand, Learned Additional P.P. for the State has vehemently argued that there are specific and direct allegations of mental cruelty against the petitioner and his family, who have subjected complainant-victim Gurjot Kaur to mental cruelty. It has been pointed out that as per the demands of the petitioner sufficient dowry articles were given at the time of marriage. Furthermore, it has been submitted that dowry articles/gold ornaments of the complainant are yet to be recovered and in case the petitioner is granted benefit of anticipatory bail, the recovery of said articles would be frustrated and it would have adverse impact on the case of the prosecution. He has, thus, prayed that the present bail petition may kindly be dismissed.

6. This Court has heard the Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Learned Additional P.P. for the State and has perused the record of the present case with their able assistance.

7. Perusal of the FIR reveals that the case of the prosecution is that complainant has leveled allegations regarding cruelty and harassment against the petitioner-husband. However, perusal of the record further shows that there is no specific date mentioned regarding the alleged beatings given by petitioner or his family member. There is no medical record, much less any MLR to prove that complainant was ever beaten by the petitioner. As far as the recovery of istridhan articles is concerned, the bail cannot be refused on this sole ground. In this context, the court solicits support from the observations of Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court rendered in CRM-M-60647 of 2023 decided on 12.02.2024.

8. Hence, in view of the above facts and circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that criminal liability of the petitioner is a question of evidence, which can only be determined during the course of trial. The petitioner has expressed his willingness to join the investigation and has undertaken to comply with all conditions that may be imposed upon him. At this stage, custodial interrogation of the petitioner does not appear to be essential for the purpose of investigation. In the present case taking into note the totality of the facts and considering the fact ends of justice shall be squarely met in case petitioner-accused is directed to make himself available to facilitate thorough and fair investigation. Hence, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/ investigating officer within **10 days** from today and shall fully cooperate with the investigation. On putting in appearance, the petitioner shall be admitted to bail on her furnishing the bail bonds in the sum of **₹50,000/-** with one surety in the like sum, to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/S.H.O of the concerned police station, with the directions:-

- 1. That the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court;*
- 2. He shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence even to the slightest;*
- 3. He shall render every possible help during the investigation as and when called upon;*
- 4. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*

9. The petitioner shall ensure strict compliance with the provisions contained under Section 480(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS). *In the event of any violation of the conditions imposed herein, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail in accordance with law. It is further directed that in case the petitioner-accused fails to join the investigation within the stipulated period of 10 days from today, the benefit of anticipatory bail granted under this order shall stand automatically cancelled and the Investigating Agency shall be at liberty to proceed against the petitioner-accused, in accordance with law.*

Accordingly, the present bail application stands **allowed**.

10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station for necessary compliance. In case, the petitioner does not join the investigation, report be sent by the SHO concerned in this regard after the expiry of 10 days. File be consigned to the record room, SAS Nagar, Mohali, after due compliance, within time prescribed, as per rules.

Pronounced in open Court:

Date of Order: 06.07.2026

(Ashana-JW)

(Navdeep Kaur Gill)
Additional Sessions Judge,
SAS Nagar (Mohali)
UID No. PB-0297