

Sessions Case No.218 of 2025
Computer Regn. No.218/2025
CNR No.WBDD01-001438-2025
JO Code- WB00923

Order No.07.

Dt.05/06/2026.

Today is fixed for further cross examination of P.W.1 and cross examination P.W.2 and P.W.3.

Both the accused persons namely Saikat Biswas and Swapna Biswas on bail are present by filing hazira.

P.W.1, P.W.2 and P.W.3 all are present.

Ld. P.P. in-charge and Ld. Advocate for the accused persons are also present.

At this stage two separate applications come up, one from the P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury and the another from the Ld. Defence Counsel Sri Arindam Chatterjee.

In the application filed by P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury, she has made some serious allegations against the Ld. Defence Counsel Sri Arindam Chatterjee stating that the Ld. Defence Counsel has been pressuring her and her husband (Sayak Samajder) to withdraw the case and that on 05.01.2026 Mr. Arindam Chatterjee threatened her husband that in the event the instant case is not withdrawn he would cancel her marriage with Sayak Samajder and would further harass them in the Court.

On the other hand in the application filed by the Ld. Defence Counsel Sri Arindam Chatterjee, he has made some counter allegations against P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury stating that yesterday at about 03-30 p.m the latter along with her husband Sayak Samajder came to his 'Seresta' inside Balurghat Court Campus and threatened him to retire from this case or else to face consequences.

Both the P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury and the Ld. Defence Counsel Sri Arindam Chatterjee during court proceedings expressed their grievances against each other before the Court with a prayer for addressing such issue.

The Court having heard the grievances of the rival parties in presence of the Ld. P.P in-charge finds this issue to be absolutely unwarranted and unfortunate. The alleged incidents of threat as made by the P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury and the Ld. Defence Counsel Sri Arindam Chatterjee against each other having taken place somewhere out side the Court room, this Court has nothing to do in this regard except making sure the safety and security of both P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury and the Ld. Defence Counsel Sri Arindam Chatterjee.

Both the rival parties are, however, at liberty to take necessary legal actions against each other within the scope and ambit of the legal the system of the country.

On being asked Ld. Defence counsel Sri Arindam Chaterjee refused to accept any sort of personal security arrangement at the instance of the Court and submitted that he will arrange for it by himself if requires at any stage but submitted that the Court may make necessary arrangement for the safety and security of P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury.

Sessions Case No.218 of 2025
Computer Regn. No.218/2025
CNR No.WBDD01-001438-2025
JO Code- WB00923

Contd. Order dt.05.06.2026.

On being personally asked, P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury submitted that she has got no personal grievance against the Ld. Defence Counsel but has simply informed the Court about what happened with her and she is worried about her safety and security.

On further quarry, Smt. Riya Chowdhury stated that she resides within the Balurghat Municipal Area and her apprehension of threat is not unreasonable.

This Court has already opined that the incidents alleged and countered by the rival parties having taken outside the court room, this Court is not likely to inquire into the truthfulness of such allegations and counter allegations. However, the safety and security of the P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury since being apprehended and likely to be within the priority of the Court, the same cannot be ignored and as such this Court is inclined to make necessary arrangement for safety and security of P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury to the extent of her presence in the Balurghat court complex as well as her journey to and fro so long her evidence is not concluded.

The I.C Balurghat P.S is accordingly directed to make necessary security arrangement for Smt. Riya Chowdhury which shall include necessary escort from her residence to Court and back after Court proceedings.

During the course of Court proceedings Ld. Defence Counsel submitted that his present mental state is not allowing him to cross examine the P.W.1 today and such he will be requiring an adjournment for this day. To that effect an application for adjournment also comes up immediately which, on consideration, this Court finds to be not un-reasonable and as such is, inclined to allow the same.

The adjournment petition filed by the Ld. Defence counsel is hereby allowed. Cross examination of P.W.1 is accordingly deferred.

Let the matter be fixed on 06.06.2026 for further cross examination of P.W.1 and 08.06.2026 for cross examination of P.W.2 and P.W.3.

Let a copy of this order be communicated to the I.C., Balurghat P.S for his information and necessary action.

Dict. & Corr. by me.

A.D.J., F.T.C.

Additional Dist. & Sess. Judge,
FTC, Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur.

Sessions Case No.218 of 2025
Computer Regn. No.218/2025
CNR No.WBDD01-001438-2025
JO Code- WB00923

Later on 05.06.2026

P.W.1/defacto complainant namely Riya Chowdhury files a petition stating that she has come to this Court today with her parents and is feeling safe with them and is willing to go back with her parents.

Considered.

P.W.1- Riya Chowdhury is at liberty to go back to her house at her own risk.

Dict. & Corr. by me.

A.D.J., F.T.C.

Additional Dist. & Sess. Judge,
FTC, Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur.