

**In the Court of Special Judge cum- Additional District and Sessions
Judge, 2nd Court, Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat.**

Special Case No.: 23/2024 (CIS Regn. No. 27/2024)

CNR No.: WBDD01-000784-2024

Present- Sharanya Sen Prasad (JO Code No.WB00690)

03

28.03.2024

Accused namely, **Thakur Barman** is produced from J/C. He is remanded to J/C till next date.

De-facto complainant S.I. Anup Kr. Das of Tapan P.S. is absent inspite of notice.

One the mother of victim girl by filing a petition raised objection against the prayer for bail.

Bail petition filed for accused **Thakur Barman** is taken up for hearing.

Perused the case record, charge-sheet, C.D. materials.

Considered.

It appears that this is a case under section 6 of POCSO Act., and section 9/10 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act., it is the allegation that minor victim girl got married with the accused and was residing in the house of accused.

Considering the case record, and C.D. materials and the statement of victim girl under section 164 of Cr.P.C., in this initial stage of investigation prayer for bail is **rejected**.

Fix **10.04.2024** for production and I.O.'s report.

Dictated & corrected by me:

Judge

**Spl. Judge (under POCSO Act)-cum-ADJ,
2nd Court, Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur.**

**In the Court of the Additional District & Sessions Judge, 2nd Court,
Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur.**

Special Case No.: 42/2023 (CIS Regn. No.56/2023)

CNR No.: WBDD01-001709-2023

Present- Sharanya Sen Prasad (JO Code No.WB00690)

**StateVs... Hemlata Das, W/o- Mithun Das of village Paschim
Jagannathpur, P.S. Patiram, District Dakshin Dinajpur.**

**Patiram P.S. Case No.120/2023 dated 14.06.2023 Under Section
363 of IPC adding section 6 of POCSO Act**

Copy of Order

07

17.07.2023

Record is put up today.

Received a prayer from I.O praying for supply of copy of statement of victim recorded u/s-164 of Cr.P.C.

Perused. Considered. Prayer is **allowed**.

One bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused person, namely, Hemlata Das.

Ld. PP and Ld. Defence counsel are present.

The said petition is taken up for hearing.

Ld. Advocate submitted that the accused person has been falsely implicated in this case and she is in custody since 29.06.2023. Ld. Advocate for the accused person further submitted that the accused person is local resident and there is no chance of absconding. Accordingly, he prays bail for the accused person.

Ld. Special P.P raised vehement objection against the prayer of bail.

Heard. Perused. Considered.

It is the allegation that victim a 17 years old boy was kidnapped by the accused.

Upon perusal of the case record, CD materials and the statement of the victim u/s-164 of Cr.P.C., it appears that victim during his statement stated nothing against the accused.

Hence, considering all aspects prayer for bail of the accused is allowed.

The accused namely, **Hemlata Das** may find bail of Rs.5,000/- with one registered surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of Ld. C.J.M, Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat i.d. to J/C till 21.07.2023.

If on bail todate **(21.07.2023)** for appearance & report in final form.

C.D be returned.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. C.J.M, Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur for information.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sd/-

Judge

Sd/-

**Spl. Judge (under POCSO Act)-cum-ADJ,
2nd Court, Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur.**

=0=0=0=0=0=0=0=

Memo No.: _____/ADJ-II

Dated : 17.07.2023

Copy forwarded for information and taking necessary action to the Ld. CJM, Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat.

**Spl. Judge (under POCSO Act)-cum-ADJ,
2nd Court, Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur.**