

IN THE COURT OF POOJA ANDOTRA,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TARN TARAN.
(UID Number PB0218)

PBTT010035932026



Presented on : 12-05-2026
Registered on : 13-05-2026
Decided on : 30-05-2026

Case Type	BA
Filing Number	3090/2026 dated 12.05.2026
Registration Number	1780/2026 dated 13.05.2026
CNR Number	PBTT0100-3593-2026
Date of Decision	30.05.2026

Jaspal Singh alias Jass aged 21 years son of Manjinder Singh,
resident of village Kaka Kandiala, Tehsil and District Tarn Taran.

---Applicant/accused

Versus

State of Punjab

---Respondent

FIR No.28 dated 29.01.2026
Under Section 310 (4), 310 (5) of BNS, 25 of Arms Act
Police Station City Tarn Taran

BAIL APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 483 BNSS

Present: Shri APS Saggu Advocate, counsel for applicant/accused.
Shri Harmanjot Singh, Additional Public Prosecutor for
State.

ORDER

The above named accused has filed this application for grant
of regular bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,
2023 (hereinafter referred to as B.N.S.S.), in the afore referred F.I.R.

2. Notice of the bail application was given to the State. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed the bail application. Police record has been requisitioned.

3. Ahlmad has reported that this is the first bail application of accused. Apart from that, no previous bail application has been filed or decided by any Court qua this accused in this FIR. Investigating Officer has stated that apart from present FIR, two more FIRs have been registered against accused. He has not been declared as proclaimed offender in this case.

4. The present FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant **ASI Mehal Singh** that on 29.01.2026, he along with fellow officials was on patrol and on reaching at Bath Chowk, Tarn Taran near Grain Market, they started checking the vehicles. There, he received a secret information that **Ajaypal Singh alias Ajay** son of Kulwant Singh, **Surjit Singh** son of Manjinder Singh, **Jaspal Singh alias Jass** son of Manjinder Singh, all residents of Kaka Kandiala, **Gurpreet Singh alias Gopi** son of Milkha Singh, resident of Mugal Chak and **Kanwalpreet Singh alias Vijay** son of Sarwan Singh resident of Kotli, had made up a gang, who were habitual of looting and snatching the innocent persons by putting them under fear of deadly weapons. All the above said persons were present at Railway Bridge of Kaka Kandiala in a white coloured Brezza car bearing registration no. HR 36 AD 5682 and were planning to commit dacoity and if raid be conducted, they could be caught red handed. Finding the information to be reliable one, ruqa was sent for the registration of FIR and after other formalities, complainant along with his team proceeded towards the disclosed place and nabbed all the accused

persons from the above mentioned Brezza Car bearing registration no. HR 36 AD 5682 and were accordingly arrested and their personal search was conducted. From the possession of accused Surjit Singh, sickle (datar) was recovered. From the possession of accused/applicant Jaspal Singh alias Jass, 30 bore pistol along with 2 live cartridges was recovered. From the possession of accused Kanwalpreet Singh alias Vijay, sickle (datar) was recovered. From the possession of Gurpreet Singh alias Gopi, sickle (datar) was recovered and from the possession of accused Ajaypal Singh alias Ajay, 32 bore pistol along with 2 live cartridges was recovered. Above said accused persons were found with unlicensed pistol and cartridges.

5. Learned counsel for the accused/applicant argued that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. No offence has been committed by him. False recovery has been planted upon him. He is in judicial custody since 29.01.2026 and no more required by the police for further interrogation and investigation. Conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time. Prayer was made for granting concession of regular bail.

6. Contention of learned Additional Public Prosecutor is that serious offence has been committed by the accused/applicant along with his co-accused persons. So, looking into the gravity and seriousness of the offence, accused/applicant is not entitled for grant of regular bail. Dismissal of the bail application is prayed.

7. In **Prahlad Singh Bhati v. N.C.T., Delhi, (SC)** : Law Finder Doc Id # 11452, 2001(5) BCR 727 : 2001(2) R.C.R.(Criminal) 377; **State through C.B.I. v. Amaramani Tripathi, (SC)** : Law Finder

Doc Id # 85804,2005(34) AIC 1 : 2005(4) R.C.R.(Criminal) 280; it has
pleased to observed that

- (i) whether there is any prima facie or reasonable ground to believe that the accused had committed the offence;*
- (ii) nature and gravity of the charge;*
- (iii) severity of the punishment in the event of conviction;*
- (iv) danger of accused absconding or fleeing if released on bail;*
- (v) character, behaviour, means, position and standing of the accused;*
- (vi) likelihood of the offence being repeated;*
- (vii) reasonable apprehension of the witnesses being tampered with;*
- (viii) danger, of course, of justice being thwarted by grant of bail*

8. Examination of the police record reveals that present FIR has been registered against accused/applicant and his co-accused by name on the basis of secret information. From the possession of accused/applicant Jaspal Singh alias Jass, one pistol 30 bore alongwith magazine and two live cartridges, were recovered. Recovery of weapon has already been effected from the accused/applicant. Accused is in judicial custody since 29.01.2026 and is no longer required for custodial interrogation. Besides that the culpability of the accused/applicant is yet to be established on the culmination of the trial. Conclusion of trial will take its own time. His detention in judicial lock-up for more period shall be a burden on the State exchequer.

9. In view of above discussion and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present application is ***allowed***. The accused/applicant is ordered to be released on bail subject to furnishing of his bail bonds in the sum of ***Rs.70,000/-*** with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the concerned Learned Trial Court/Illaqa/Duty Magistrate, subject to the conditions that :-

1. the applicant-accused shall not, directly or indirectly, made any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the

- facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court to any police officer;
2. the accused-applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court, including the trial Court.
 3. the accused- applicant shall attend the proceedings in accordance with the conditions of the bonds so executed under Chapter XXXIII of Cr.P.C.
 4. the accused- applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected;
 5. the accused-applicant shall not tamper with the evidence by any means;
 6. the accused-applicant shall appear before the trial court on each date of hearing unless his personal appearance is exempted.

Bail application file be attached with the main file/consigned to the record room.

Announced: 30.05.2026

Atul Stenographer Grade-II

**Pooja Andotra,
Judge Special Court,
Tarn Taran,
UID Number PB0218**