

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, DAKSHIN DINAJPUR AT BALURGHAT.

Present : Shri Anil Kumar Kushwaha (JO Code WB00945)

Crl. Misc. Case No.141 of 2026

CNR : WBDD01-000418-2026

Order No.03, dt.13.03.2026 :

This is an application u/s-483 of B.N.S.S which has been preferred by **1. Susmita Sarkar Karmakar and 2. Tanusree Sarkar**, the accused in connection with G.R. Case No.91/2026 arising out of Balurghat P.S. Case No.34/2026, dated 20.01.2026, u/s-108/3(5) of B.N.S.

The Ld. Advocate for the accused-petitioners and the Ld. P.P, both are present in Court.

Before commencing the hearing of the instant application, this Court specifically queried from the Ld. Advocate representing the accused-petitioners and the Ld. P.P, as to whether any application for bail has been disposed of earlier by any superior Court or if any such similar application is pending in any other Court having jurisdiction to adjudicate the same.

Both, the Ld. Advocate representing the accused-petitioners and the Ld. P.P replied in the negative.

The Ld. Advocate representing the accused-petitioners submits that in the instant case the accused person has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He further submits that in the instant case the accused-petitioners have been languishing in custody since 20-01-2026. The Ld. Advocate for accused-petitioners submits that there is no instigation on the part of the petitioners as it transpires from the FIR and accordingly detaining them in custody will not yield any fruitful result. Moreover, they are female lady.

The Ld. P.P opposed such prayer for enlarging the accused person on bail and shown me the relevant page of the case diary.

Heard both sides. Perused the said application, the contents of the CD and LCR.

It transpires from the materials as collected by the I.O in the CD that the accused-petitioners are the two sisters and both are married and residing in a same house on the ground floor and 1st floor separately as it is learnt from the submission of the Ld. Advocate for the accused-petitioners. I find from the materials on the record that the accused-petitioner no.1 has a lover and over this issue the matrimonial dispute was going on in between the petitioner and the deceased. Prior to the incident there had been an incident in between the petitioner and the deceased. The investigation is in progress.

Considering the involvement of the accused-petitioners in the alleged offence I am not inclined to enlarge them on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the accused-petitioners stands **rejected**.

Crl. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & L.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the L.C.R., be sent to the Ld. C.J.M., Dakshin Dinajpur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me.

Sessions Judge in-charge,
ADJ, Fast Track Court
Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat.

Sessions Judge in-charge,
ADJ, Fast Track Court
Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat.