

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, DAKSHIN DINAJPUR AT BALURGHAT.

Present : Shri Anil Kumar Kushwaha (JO Code WB00945)

CrI. Misc. Case No.120 of 2026

CNR : WBDD01-000366-2026

Order No.02, dt.07.03.2026 :

This is an application u/s-482 of BNSS which has been preferred by the accused-petitioners namely-**1. Jarina Bibi, 2. Jabedul Miah, 3. Sabana Bibi and 4. Hachina Bibi @ Hachina Khatun Bibi**, in connection with G.R. Case No.102/2026 arising out of Gangarampur P.S No.36/2026, dated 25.01.2026, u/s-85/117(2)/109(1)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS.

The Ld. Advocate for the accused-petitioners and the Ld. P.P, both are present in Court.

Before commencing the hearing of the instant application, this Court specifically queried from the Ld. Advocate representing the accused-petitioners and the Ld. P.P, as to whether any application for bail has been disposed of earlier by any superior Court or if any such similar application is pending in any other Court having jurisdiction to adjudicate the same.

Both, the Ld. Advocate representing the accused-petitioners and the Ld. P.P replied in the negative.

The Ld. Advocate representing the accused-petitioners submits that in the instant case the accused persons have been falsely implicated and they have no nexus with the alleged offence. He submits that on account of the situation as prevailing the accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail subject to any condition that might be imposed by this Court.

The Ld. P.P opposed such prayer for enlarging the accused persons on bail. Ld. P.P shown me the relevant pages of the CD.

Heard both sides. Perused the said application, the contents of the LCR and CD.

It appears from the case record and case diary that the accused-petitioners are the mother-in-law and other-in-laws of the defacto complainant. From the FIR and the materials collected by the I.O till date, I find that there is general allegations against the accused-petitioners. The defacto complainant was not medically treated as per her statement lying in the C.D. The specific allegation is against the husband. So far the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioners no.2 is allowed.

Considering the same the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioners is **allowed**.

In the event of the arrest of the accused-petitioners namely- **1. Jarina Bibi, 2. Jabedul Miah, 3. Sabana Bibi and 4. Hachina Bibi @ Hachina Khatun Bibi**, be released on bail by executing bond of Rs.5,000/- each, with two sureties of Rs.2,500/- each, subject to the satisfaction of arresting officer. The accused-petitioners are further directed to comply with the provisions U/S-482(2) of BNSS.

CrI. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

L.C.R and C.D be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the L.C.R., be sent to the Ld. A.C.J.M., Gangarampur at Buniadpur for information and necessary action.

Dictated and corrected by me

**Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat.**

**Sessions Judge-in-Charge,
Dakshin Dinajpur at Balurghat.**