

CNR No: PBGDA10014982026

CIS No: BA-178-2026

Vishav John Vs. State of Punjab.

**In The Court of R.P.S.Bhardwaj,
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala (UID No.PB-0410)**

FIR No. 39 dated 13.03.2026

Under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 651(2), 126(2), 3(5) of BNS

P.S. Dera Baba Nanak.

Present: Sh. G.S.Randhawa, Advocate counsel for applicant/accused.
Sh. R.S.Gill, Ld. APP for the State.

1. ASI Sharif Masih No.2471/BTL, suffered separate statement that accused was arrested on 16.03.2026 and as per his information accused did not move any bail application earlier in the present FIR in any court of law and except the present FIR, no other FIR was registered against accused.

2. Heard on the bail application u/s 480 BNSS of accused Vishav John, Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant submitted that accused is innocent and falsely implicated in the present FIR and he is custody since 16.03.2026. It was argued that accused has not committed any offence with further submission that prosecution allegations are false and concocted one. It was further argued that presentation of challan as well as conclusion of trial Court will take sufficient time.

3. On the other hand, Ld. APP for the State opted not to file the reply to the bail application, but orally opposed the bail application on the ground of gravity of offence.

4. The Court has heard and considered the rival arguments. Perusal of the papers has revealed that FIR in this case was registered against the above said accused along-with co-accused for assaulting complainant. The accused Vishav John in judicial custody since 16.03.2026 and presentation of

challan and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time. Till then, further custody of accused will serve no purpose. Hence, the present bail application is disposed of being allowed releasing accused Vishav John on bail on furnishing personal bonds by accused in the sum of ₹ 25,000/- with one surety in the like amount or FDR surety of Rs.15,000/- subject to condition that accused will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and he will not tamper the prosecution evidence.

5. Bail/surety bonds being neither furnished not intended to be furnished. papers be attached with the remand papers.

Pronounced:
Dated 20.03.2026
Nitesh Kumar, Stenographer-II

(R.P.S.Bhardwaj), PCS
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Batala (UID No.PB0410)