

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNICIPAL MAGISTRATE,
2ND COURT, CALCUTTA

Present: Shri Avisek Minj

(JO Code : WB01520)

Complaint Case No. 18 of 2025

CNR No. WBCS03 000076 2025

Order dated 30-03-2026 :-

Present : Ld APP for the state

Today is fixed for delivery of judgment.

All the accused are present today with their Ld. Counsels.

It pronounced in open court.

Let it be kept with the record.

The relevant portion of the judgment is as :-

Hence, it is,

Ordered

that the accused person namely **Ranjana Dutta is found guilty** for committing offence under section 496A of the Kolkata Municipal corporation Act. Accordingly, she is convicted u/s 278(2) of the BNSS.

Accused persons namely **Soumitra Dhar, Krishna Kuntala Chatterjee and Shambhu Mondal are found not guilty** for offence under section 496A of the Kolkata Municipal corporation Act. Accordingly, they are acquitted u/S 278(1) of BNSS. and discharged from their respective bail bonds. Now it's 12:45 P.M.

The convict is taken into custody. The court will hear the convict on the points of sentence at 01.10 P.M. Convict be produced then. Inform all concerned.

Typed by me.

(AVISEK MINJ)
Municipal Magistrate
2nd Court, Calcutta.
(J.O. code- WB01520)

(AVISEK MINJ)
Municipal Magistrate,
2nd Court, Calcutta.
(J.O. code- WB01520)

Later at 01:10 P.M:

1. The convict namely Ranjana Dutta is produced before me and she is hereby given an opportunity of being heard on the question of sentence.
2. The Ld. A.P.P. and the Ld. Advocate for the convict is present.
3. The Ld. Advocate for the convict submits that his client is innocent. The Ld. Advocate for the convict prays for pardon.
4. Heard the Ld. A.P.P. on the point of sentence.
5. Ld. Counsel for the convict has highlighted about the financial constraints of the convict.
6. Considering the nature of the offences committed, this court finds that release of the accused person on probation u/s 401 of BNSS would not serve any purpose but if done would have an impression in her mind that commission of these types of grave and serious offences would have no practical consequence. In this case, although the convict found to be first time offender but she has found to have committed the offence deliberately. Therefore, releasing the convict on probation would not serve any practical purpose.
7. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case, this court hereby penalizes the convict and directs her to pay fine of **Rs. 20,000/- (Rs. Twenty thousand only)**. **In default of payment of the total fine amount, she would have to suffer simple imprisonment for a period of six (06) months.** The Judicial Cashier is directed to do needful in this regard.

As per Section 404 of the BNSS and in compliance of the order of the Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta in CRA No. of 64 of 2014 and CRA No. 36 of 2011 and in pursuance of Rule 192A and 192B of Calcutta High Court Criminal Rules and Order, let a copy of this judgment be made available to the convict free of cost forthwith. She has also made aware of her right to prefer an appeal with legal aid (in the language understandable to her).
8. Instant case is thereby disposed of.
9. Sureties concerned be released from their liabilities. Let a copy of this be forwarded to Clerk in Charge, Bail Bond Register, for information and necessary actions.

10. D.A is directed to note in the relevant register.

11. Update C.I.S.

Later :-

Ld. Defence counsel for the convict namely Ranjana Dutta files bail application. He moves the bail petition and submits that convict is willing to prefer an appeal in this matter. He finally prays for bail.

Ld. A.P.P raises no objection.

I have heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the parties, perused the bail petition and case record.

It is clear from case record that convict namely **Ranjana Dutta** is sentenced to pay fine of Rs.20000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) only u/s 496A of KMC Act, In default of payment of the fine amount, she would have to suffer simple imprisonment for a period of **six** months. She is willing to prefer an appeal in this matter. So, I am of the considered view to suspend the sentence of the convict and release her on appeal bond as per section **430 of BNSS**.

Hence, it is

ORDERED

that the convict, namely **Ranjana Dutta** may be released on furnishing Bail bond of Rs.2,000/- (Rupees Two thousand) only with one surety and furnishing fine bond of Rs.40,000/- i.d suffer sentence. Convict is released on bail subject to confirmation by the Ld. Appellate Court. Accordingly, the sentence of convict is suspended till next date.

Fix 30.04.2026 for bringing order.

Dictated & corrected by me.

(AVISEK MINJ)
Municipal Magistrate
2nd Court, Calcutta.
(J.O. code- WB01520)

(AVISEK MINJ)
Municipal Magistrate,
2nd Court, Calcutta.
(J.O. code- WB01520)