

5 Bail Matters 113-2026
STATE Vs. RAVI KISHAN
6 Bail Matters 114-2026
STATE Vs. VIKASH
7 Bail Matters 115-2026
STATE Vs. RAHUL
8 Bail Matters 116-2026
STATE Vs. PANKAJ
FIR no. 0001/2026
P.S. Nazafgarh
U/s. 110/3(5)/324 (4)/115(2)/191(2)/190 BNS

04.02.2026

Present: Ms. Kiran Bala, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.
IO/SI Himanshu.
Complainant/injured namely Janpal Singh, Akshay,
Ranjeet and Sumit.
Sh. Sandeep Singh and Sh. Manmohan Singh, Ld.
Counsel for applicants/accused persons Ravi
Kishan, Vikash, Rahul and Pankaj.

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicants/accused Ravi Kishan, Vikash, Rahul and Pankaj.

Reply to the applications already filed.

Arguments heard.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused that applicants/accused is innocent and falsely implicated in this case and in JC since 02.02.2026. It is further stated that FIR was originally registered against unknown person and complainant stated that attackers were wearing helmets and mufflers to conceal their identities and name of the applicants do not appear in the initial FIR. It is further stated that applicants have no connection with the alleged incident or the shops mentioned. It is further stated that no TIP has been conducted to establish the identity of the applicants/accused. It is further stated that entire case is built on conjectures and surmises.

It is further stated that the accused shall abide by all the conditions imposed by the court. While addressing arguments, Ld. Counsel for the accused has not addressed arguments on any of the submissions made in the written application and has addressed verbal arguments on the ground that there is no service of notice under section 41A Cr.P.C. and that the grounds of arrest were not communicated to the accused persons. Ld. Counsel for the accused has relied on the *(1) Vikash Chawla Vs State 2025:DHC:2024* and *(2) Amandeep Sinigh Johar Vs State of NCT of Delhi WP (C) 7608/2017 decided on 07.02.2018* passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and prayed that applicants/accused be granted bail.

Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State and complainant have opposed the bail application stating that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and prayed that bail application be dismissed.

As per facts of the case around 8 to 10 unknown boys, with faces covered with muffler and helmets, entered inside the Domino's shop, holding sticks in their hands and vandalised the shop, assaulted the staff members present inside the shop and damaged the computer systems, glass rack and other machines. Thereafter, they entered inside the adjoining Pizza Wings Shop, and assaulted the staff member, Armaan, and damaged the Computer and other machines. The faces of the assailants were seen when they removed their helmets and face covering during the vandalism and assault.

As per report of IO, in the CCTV footage, assailants were clearly seen on 6-7 motorcycle with lathis and danda and they all vandalized the Dominos and Pizza Wings store and gave beatings to

5 Bail Matters 113-2026 ; STATE Vs. RAVI KISHAN
6 Bail Matters 114-2026 ; STATE Vs. VIKASH
7 Bail Matters 115-2026 ; STATE Vs. RAHUL
8 Bail Matters 116-2026 ; STATE Vs. PANKAJ
FIR no. 0001/2026

-3-

their staff. Accused Ravi Kishan can be seen in the footage of DOMINOS recording the incident on his mobile phone. Weapon of offence i.e. dandas were recovered from all the accused persons and cloths worn by the accused were also recovered at their own instances apart from three motorcycles and a scooty used in the commission of offence. 5-6 co-accused persons are still absconding.

All the submissions regarding non compliance of section 41A Cr.P.C and non-communication of the ground of arrest were not raised in the bail application. However, undersigned has perused the case diary as per which notices were duly served upon the accused persons and were received by them and the grounds of arrest were also communicated to the accused persons which shows that the IO has complied with all the mandatory provisions and guidelines regarding the arrest of the accused persons.

Hence, in view of the above facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicants/accused persons Ravi Kishan, Vikash, Rahul and Pankaj. Hence the bail applications of applicants/accused are hereby dismissed.

Copy of the order be sent to accused through Jail Superintendent concerned. Copy of order be also given dasti to the parties.

(MANU GOEL KHARB)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-02
DWARKA COURTS, NEW DELHI
04.02.2026