

Mannu alias Manu Vs. State -1-

Bail application No.368 of 2026.

Presented on : 23-07-2026

Registered on : 23-07-2026

Decided on : 27.07.2026

**IN THE COURT OF
Additional District and Sessions Judge
AT Rohtak, Rohtak
(Presided Over by Sh. Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
BA/1459/2026.**

Mannu alias Monu son of Sh. Jaibir, resident of VPO Baniyani, Tehsil Kalanaur, District Rohtak.

--- Applicant-accused.

Versus

State of Haryana.

---Respondent-State.

FIR No.71 dated 05.03.2026

Under Sections: 115, 126, 191(2), 191(3), 351(2)

BNS later on added Sections 109(1), 103(1), 238
BNS.

Police Station: Kalanaur

1st application for bail under Section 483 BNSS.

Argued by: Mr. Gaurav Khurana,, counsel for the applicant-accused.
Ms. Bhawna, Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State.

ORDER:

The bail application file has been put up before me being link Court as Ms. Rajni Yadav, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak is on leave.

2. The applicant-accused has filed the present bail application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of bail through his counsel in the

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak.27.7.2026.

above-said case. The first bail application filed on behalf of the applicant-accused was dismissed, vide order dated 5.5.2026.

3. The brief facts of the prosecution case are that complainant Sahil son of Aggarsain, resident of village Baniyani, District Rohtak, has got registered this case FIR instigate the applicant-accused as well as other co-accused to the effect that on 04.03.2026, at about 4:00 p.m., he along-with his friend Satish son of Rambir was coming from Patwapur on a motorcycle after playing and on the way near Bhagwan Dass company, Nishant son of Om Parkash was parking his motorcycle and they asked him to get aside the motorcycle from the way but Nishant started abusing them. In the meantime, parents of Nishant, Sagar along-with 7-8 persons came there and started beating them. After that, Bantu @ Monti son of Rajesh and Vikram @ Kallu son of Naresh came there to rescue them but all the aforesaid assailants who were having dandas, iron rod and sharp edged weapon, also gave beatings to Monti and Kallu. Thereafter, some persons also came at the spot and gave beatings to them and also extended threat to kill them. The complainant prayed to take appropriate action against the culprits. Hence, the present FIR.

4. Notice of the bail application has been given to the respondent-State. Reply to the bail application has been filed.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant-accused has submitted that co-accused Ravi, Sudhir, Shiva, Nagina, Vikas have been granted the concession of bail, vide orders dated 29.4.2026, 2.5.2026, 5.5.2026,

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak.27.7.2026.

7.5.2026 and 11.5.2026, respectively. The role of the applicant-accused is on equal footing as that of aforesaid co-accused, whereas, the co-accused Dilbagh has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court on 17.4.2026. The name of the applicant-accused is not in the FIR. No specific attribution of injuries are there. He has not inflicted even a single injury to the deceased. He do not have any criminal antecedent. His earlier bail application was dismissed when challan has not been filed. Now, after investigation, challan has been filed. Conclusion of trial would take a long time. He is in custody since 10.3.2026. No purpose would be served by keeping the applicant-accused further detained in custody. His bail application may kindly be allowed.

6. Whereas, on the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for the State has submitted that the applicant-accused had actively participated in the commission of crime. He do have criminal antecedents and three cases of NDPS Act are registered at Police Station, Kalanaur. The recovery of danda has been effected from him. Therefore, no lenient view be taken. His bail application may kindly be dismissed.

7. Submissions heard.

8. Since, the similarly places co-accused Ravi, Sudhir, Shiva, Nagina, Vikas have been granted the concession of regular bail. No specific injury has been attributed at the hands of the applicant-accused. Investigation quo him has already been completed. Challan has been filed. He is in custody since 10.3.2026. The relief otherwise due cannot be

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak.27.7.2026.

denied for having antecedent. Conclusion of trial would certainly take long time. Therefore, without commenting upon the merit of the case, no purpose would be served by keeping the applicant-accused further detained in custody. Accordingly, the bail application of applicant-accused is hereby allowed. The applicant-accused shall be released from custody, subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one surety in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate/trial Magistrate, as the case may be. A copy of this order be sent to the quarter concerned. File be sent to the court concerned forthwith and be consigned to the record room/tagged with the main case file, as the case may be, after due compliance.

Announced in open Court.
Dated: 27.07.2026.

‘Harish Kumar’

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Spl. Judge-Addl. Sessions Judge,
Rohtak. (UID No.HR0492)
(Link Court)

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak.27.7.2026.

Argued by: Mr. Gaurav Khurana,, counsel for the applicant-accused.
Ms. Bhawna, Public Prosecutor for the respondent-
State.

The bail application file has been put up before me being link Court as Ms. Rajni Yadav, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak is on leave. Reply filed. Arguments heard. Vide my separate order of even date, the present bail application has been allowed in terms of the order. A copy of this order be sent to the quarter concerned. File be sent to the court concerned forthwith and be consigned to the record room/tagged with the main case file, as the case may be, after due compliance.

Announced in open Court.

Dated: 27.07.2026

‘Harish Kumar’

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)

Spl. Judge-Addl. Sessions Judge,
Rohtak. (UID No.HR0492)

(Link Court)

(Sandeep Kumar Duggal)
Special Judge-ASJ, Rohtak.27.7.2026.