

**In the court of Barinder Singh Ramana,
Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, (UID no.PB0161)**

**CIS No.BA/3633/2026
CNR No.PBLD010092262026
Date of Order: 28.04.2026**

1. Sanjeev Chauhan S/o Kartar Chand, R/o # 616, St. no. 2, Near Jaiswal Karyana Store, Manjit Vihar, Ludhiana.

2. Jatinder Kaur W/o Sanjeev Chauhan, R/o # E-13/216, St. no.3, Aman Nagar, Green Land School, Near Jassi Tent House, Ludhiana.

.....Accused/Applicants

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**FIR No.42, dated 10.03.2026
under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2),
308(2), 351(2), 319(2), 318(4) & 111 of BNS, 2023
P.S. Salem Tabri, Ludhiana.**

Bail application under Section 482 of BNSS

Present: Shri Jeewan Naggar, Advocate, for accused/applicants.
Ms. Monika Gupta, Addl.P.P for the State.
Ms. Veena Bhardwaj, Advocate counsel for the complainant.

ORDER:

The accused/applicants has filed present bail application under Section 482 BNSS seeking anticipatory bail in the above said FIR.

2. Notice of the application was given to the State. Thereafter, learned Addl. P.P appeared on behalf of the State assisted by Ms. Veena Bhardwaj, Advocate counsel for complainant had contested the bail

application.

3. Brief facts of prosecution story are that the present FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant Aman to the effect that he is running a garment factory with his father, his uncle and brother. He stated that he is residing at Manjit Vihar, Aman Nagar for the last many years. In the year 2021, they shifted their factory from their house to Guru Har Rai Nagar. Jagjit Singh co-accused who is residing in their locality, does not do any work and has been harassing people by filing false and frivolous complaints against them and also demanding money from them. He further stated that 10 months ago, Jagjit Singh accused/applicant called him and demanded money but he did not give him any response. Jagjit Singh started calling him repeatedly and harassing him. He then talked with Tarlok Singh who is owner of Mona Knitwear, regarding the above said matter and he called Jagjit Singh in his factory for discussing the about said matter, where Jagjit Singh stated them that he is taking monthly payment from all the factories which are located there and demanded Rs.1 lac each from each of them. When he refused to give the said money, then Jagjit Singh claimed them that he has connections with higher authorities and if he wants, he could close their factory. Thereafter, Jagjit Singh started threatening them and due to this reason, on 06.03.2026 his father wrote a suicide note and thereafter, locked himself in the room. When he came to know about this, he immediately opened the door and took his father out of the room. Thereafter, they discussed about the matter with the Randhir Singh who is husband of counselor of

their society. When he alongwith Randhir Singh, Jasvir Kaur, and other persons went outside the house of Jagjit Singh for discussing about the above said matter, then Jagjit Singh and Rajwinder Singh started abusing them and also threatening them. Thereafter, a scuffle started between with them. During the altercation, the above said persons gave fist blows to his mother, Jagjit Singh hit his brother-in-law (Jija) with a sharp edged weapon. Thereafter, Jagjit Singh attacked upon them. Thereafter, they fled away from the spot alongwith their weapons. Jasvir Kaur and Divakar Sehgal were got admitted in the hospital for treatment. On the basis of said statement, the present FIR has been registered. Thereafter, on the supplementary statement, names of the accused/applicants have been nominated in the present case.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the accused/applicant, learned Addl. PP for the State assisted by ld. Counsel for the complainant and have gone through the record.

5. Investigating Officer has also come present and during the course of arguments, the investigating officer has submitted that the names of accused/applicants have surfaced during the investigation of the case, as the co-accused have named them by suffering disclosure statement. It has been submitted that the DDR No. 25 of 20.04.2026 has been entered. It has come that the main accused person in connivance with co-accused have purchased i-cards of different departments and have extorted money from people. It has further been submitted by the ld. Counsel for the complainant to the Court during the arguments that the

documents have been recovered from the residence of main accused persons and these documents have been bearing the seal of accused Jagjit Singh and the said documents are more than 2500 and not only the said documents have been sought through RTI by the main accused but he has also been harassing the people and extorting money by preparing forged documents. I-cards have been recovered which are in the name of Jagjit Singh, Rajwinder and Pooja. The applicants/accused persons have been impersonating alongwith main accused persons. Further, ld. Counsel for the accused/applicants has submitted that the accused/applicants have not been named in the FIR. Nothing is to be effected from them. No RTI application has ever been filed by them. It has further been submitted that the accused/applicants be given the benefit of anticipatory bail and they are ready to join the investigation and assist the investigating officer.

6. In this case, during arguments, ld. Counsel for the complainant have shown the numerous photographs showing the close association of the accused/applicants with the main accused. They are traveling abroad together. The name of the accused/applicants have surfaced during the interrogation of the main accused persons and accordingly, they have been named in the FIR. There are specific allegations that the accused/applicants alongwith main accused are preparing forged documents and extorting money from the people by collecting information through the RTI by moving forged applications.

7. So, considering the aforesaid circumstances, it can be said that the bail application of the accused/applicants is without merits and

same deserves to be dismissed. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application of the accused/applicants stands dismissed.

8. However, nothing expressed herein shall have any bearing on the merits of the case. Police record returned. Bail application file be consigned to the record room.

**Pronounced in open court.
Dated:28.04.2026**

**(Barinder Singh Ramana)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana (UID No.PB0161)**

Arashdeep Kaur, St. Gr.II