

Sessions Case No. 100 of 2013

Order No. 111

dated 21.05.2021 :-

Today is fixed for hearing of the bail petition dated 19.05.2021 filed on behalf of the accused person named Mukesh Thakur.

Ld. P.P. and Ld. Defence counsels are present.

Bail petition is taken up for hearing.

It is submitted by the Ld. Defence Counsel that the said accused person is languishing in J/C since 18.06.2014. He further submits that two other accused persons have already been granted bail and this accused person also stands on the same footing. He further submits that his prayer for bail was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta in the month of February 2021. He also submits that his minor children are residing under the care of his parents and his wife has already been expired and further submits that in this period of pandemic he may infected if he is detained in the J/C and as such prays for his enlargement on bail under any terms and conditions.

Ld. P.P. in charge on the other hand raises strong objection categorically stating that this accused is resident of Bihar and he may abscond if he is enlarged on bail. It is further submitted that the prayer for bail of this accused was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta very recently and also submits that the case has been delayed due to latches on the part of the defence.

Perused the case record and and the C.D. and on perusal of the same I find that there are sufficient materials against this accused person. I also find that there has been delay in trial due to the latches on the part of all the accused persons. Furthermore, the bail prayer of this accused was rejected recently by the Hon'ble High Court and I am also of the view that the accused may abscond if this accused is enlarged on bail as he is a resident of another State and as such, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail stands **rejected**.

Todate (28.05.2021).

Dictated & corrected by me.

Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge
Bench – II
JO Code – WB01248