

S.T. NO. 03 (09) OF 2022
Sessions Case No. 36 OF 2022
CNR NO.WBCS01-000532-2022
Present: Sri Sukumar Ray
Chief Judge, City Sessions Court, Calcutta
J.O. CODE NO.WB00590.

Order no. 43 dated 15.11.2025

1. Today is fixed for hearing of the bail petition dated 07.11.2025 filed on behalf of accused person namely Aftab Alam @ Reyhan and filing of written objection.
2. Ld. Special Public Prosecutor and the Ld. Advocate for the accused person are present.
3. Now the bail petition of accused person namely Aftab Alam @ Reyhan is taken up for hearing.
4. The bail petition is moved.
5. Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner Aftab Alam @ Reyhan prays for bail of this accused/petitioner on the following grounds that:
 - i. he is completely innocent;
 - ii. he has been falsely implicated in this case;
 - iii. he is languishing in custody since 30.01.2022 and
 - iv. he has a fixed place of residence, so there is no chance of his absconsion.
6. Ld. Public Prosecutor opposes the bail prayer of this accused/petitioner on the grounds that huge quantity of high quality Indian fake currency notes were recovered from his possession.
7. I have carefully perused the case record and the materials available in the case diary.
8. On careful perusal of the materials appearing in the case diary, it appears that the huge quantity of high quality Indian fake currency notes of Rs. 5,00,000/- were recovered from the possession of this accused/petitioner on 30.01.2022.
9. The trial of this case is in progress.
10. While rejecting the bail application of this accused person, the Hon'ble Court, vide CRM (DB) 4151 of 2024, the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to observe that -

“Quality of the fake currency seized from the possession of the petitioner was found to be of high quality and therefore, provisions of the UAP Act was also invoked. Considering the materials on record, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner”.
11. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the involvement of this accused/petitioner in the alleged offence in question, I am not inclined to allow the bail prayer of this accused/petitioner.
12. Accordingly, the bail prayer of the accused/petitioner **Aftab Alam @ Reyhan** stands **rejected**.
13. Todate i.e. on **03.12.2025** for production of the accused person and further examination of P.W.3 namely P. Paul Ramesh.
14. Case Diary be returned at once.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sd/-
Chief Judge
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.

Sd/-
Chief Judge
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.