

**M.L Case no 9 of 2025**  
**ECIR/KLZO-I/12/ 2025 dated 01.07.2025**  
**Present: Sri Sukumar Ray**  
**Chief Judge, City Sessions Court, Calcutta**  
**J.O. CODE NO.-WB00590.**

**Order no. 01 dated 03.07.2025**

One supplementary case record is received from the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Calcutta vide Memo no. 3086 dated 03.07.2025 containing 26 pages.

Let the supplementary case record be kept with the original case record.

Seen the arrest memo, arrest order, grounds of arrest of Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali in ECIR No. ECIR/KLZO-I/12/2025 dated 01.07.2025, reasons to believe for executing arrest under section 19 of the PML Act, 2002 and medical papers.

The accused person namely, Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali is produced before this Court in terms of the order dated 03.07.2025 passed by the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Calcutta.

The accused person namely Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali is taken into custody.

The record is taken up for hearing on the point of pre-cognizance.

Ld. Advocates for the accused person is present by filing fresh vakalatnama. Let it be kept with the record.

Ld. Special Public Prosecutor for the Enforcement Directorate is present with the I.O of this case.

Heard Ld. Special Public Prosecutor for Enforcement Directorate as well as Ld. Advocate for the accused person.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person submits that if the cognizance is taken on the basis of materials on record, he has no objection.

Perused the original ECIR/KLZO-I/12/2025 dated 01.07.2025, relevant materials on record and the case diary.

Registered as a PMLA complaint case and note in the register.

It appears from the record that there is prima facie material to proceed against the accused person namely, Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali against whom the complaint has been filed.

Accordingly, the cognizance is **taken**.

Ld. Advocate for the accused Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali verbally prays for bail of the accused person.

The bail is moved on behalf of accused person.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali prays for bail on the ground that this accused person is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in this case and is no way connected with the alleged offence.

Ld. Public Prosecutor opposes the bail prayer of the accused person on the ground that there is direct and specific allegation against the accused person and custodial interrogation of the accused person is necessary to ascertain the trail of proceeds of crime. So, he prays for rejection of bail.

Heard Ld. Advocate for the accused as well as Ld. Special Public Prosecutor.

Perused the ECIR/KLZO-I/12/2025 dated 01.07.2025 and the case diary.

The investigation in its early stage.

Considering the nature and gravity and enormity of the crime and also considering the statutory mandate as engrafted in Section 45(1) of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused person at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the accused person namely, Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali stands **rejected**.

One petition is filed by Mr. Chetan Singh, Assistant Director of Enforcement Directorate praying for remand of the accused person namely, **Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali** in the custody of the Enforcement Directorate for a period of 14 days in the interest of thorough and proper investigation of this case.

Now the prayer for remand of the accused person Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali for a period of 14 days in the custody of Enforcement Directorate is taken up for hearing.

Ld. Special Public Prosecutor of the Enforcement Directorate prays for remand of the accused person for 14 days in the custody of Enforcement Directorate for the purpose of thorough and proper investigation of this case and this accused person is directly involved in the processes and activities connected with the Proceeds of Crime generated as a result of the criminal activity related to the Scheduled Offence as defined in Section 3 of PMLA, 2002 and his custodial interrogation is very much required to unearth the truth, recover and ascertain the proceeds of crime involved in the present case and also to ascertain the beneficiaries of the proceeds of crime involved in this case and also to identify the properties acquired from proceeds of crime.

Countering such submissions, Ld. Advocate for the accused person submits that the allegation ascribed in the complaint filed by Shri Tapan Kumar Poi is out and out false and the allegations levelled against the accused person are not only preposterous but also highly improbable. He further submits that this accused person has been falsely implicated out of business rivalry. He further submits that there was a business transaction between the complainant and the accused person. So he prays for rejection of the application of 14 days custody of the accused person in the custody of Enforcement Directorate.

Also heard the accused person in person.

I have carefully perused the original ECIR/KLZO-I/12/2025 dated 01.07.2025, the materials appearing in the case record, case diary and the submissions of both sides.

On careful perusal of the materials appearing in the case diary, it reveals that accused **Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali** was involved in extorting money from various victims under the pretext of helping in Enforcement Directorate investigation. It further appears that he has extorted huge sum of money from various victims through middlemen.

Considering the nature of allegation, enormity of the crime complained of and the gravity of the offence, I am of view that the prayer of the Enforcement Directorate for remand the accused person namely, **Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali** in their custody is considered and allowed to facilitate the works of investigation.

The accused person namely, **Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali** be remanded to the custody of the Enforcement Directorate till **16.07.2025** and he be produced before this Court on **16.07.2025**.

The Enforcement Directorate is directed to get accused medically examined before taking him to its custody. He is also directed to get accused medically examined every 48 hours while the accused is in custody of the Enforcement Directorate and he should comply with the guidelines of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and National Human Rights Commission in this regard.

Fixing **16.07.2025** for production of accused person namely, **Sk Jinnar Ali @ Jinnar Ali** before this Court from the custody of Enforcement Directorate.

Return the C.D and call for the same on the date so fixed.

Let a copy of this order be handed over to the Investigating Officer of Enforcement Directorate for strict compliance of this order.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sd/-

Chief Judge  
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.

Sd/-

Chief Judge  
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.