

Sessions Case No. 28 OF 2024
S.T No 02 (09) of 2024
CNR NO.WBCS01-000341-2024
Present: Sri Sukumar Ray
J.O. CODE NO.WB00590
Chief Judge, City Sessions Court, Calcutta

Order no. 09 dated 28.04.2025

Today is fixed for hearing of the bail petition dated 25.04.2025 filed on behalf of the accused person namely Sanjib Das.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person and the Ld. Public Prosecutor are present.

Now the bail petition dated 25.04.2025 filed on behalf of the accused person namely Sanjib Das is taken up for hearing.

At the very outset, Ld. Advocate for the petitioner submits that no application for bail has either been rejected by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta or is pending for disposal before the Hon'ble Court.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner draws my attention to paragraph 11 of the bail petition dated 25.04.2025.

Ld. Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that this accused is in custody since 09.01.2022 and he further submits that other accused is on bail so he prays for mercy of this Court.

Ld. Public Prosecutor opposes the bail prayer of this accused/petitioner on the ground that the alleged offence is very serious in nature.

Heard Ld. Advocate for the petitioner/accused person and the Ld. Public Prosecutor.

I have carefully perused the case diary.

I have carefully gone through the LCR. It emerges from the record that this case is already fixed for evidence. It comes out from the LCR that this accused is in custody since 10.01.2022.

It further appears that one accused Rajesh Sk was enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta vide CRM (DB) 2366 of 2022.

It appears from the order of the Hon'ble Court that the Hon'ble Court was pleased to release accused Rajesh Sk on bail and observed: "*We have considered the materials on record. Whether petitioner intended to murder the victim requires to be assessed during trial. Balancing the nature of offence with the period detention suffered by the petitioner and as investigation is complete, we are inclined to grant bail to the petitioner*".

It appears from the case record that defacto complainant is examined in this case.

Considering the period of detention already undergone by the petitioner as well as the attending facts and circumstances of the case emerging from the record, I am inclined to allow the prayer for bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of this accused/petitioner namely **Sanjib Das** is **allowed**.

The accused/petitioner namely **Sanjib Das** may find bail of **Rs. 10,000/-** with two sureties of **Rs. 5,000/- each** (out of which one must be local) to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Calcutta on condition that the petitioner shall appear before this court on every date of hearing until further orders and shall not intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever.

If the accused person availed of the opportunity of bail todate (**17.05.2025**) for appearance of the accused/petitioner in default production.

Todate i.e. on **17.05.2025** for evidence/production.

CD be returned at once.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Calcutta for information and necessary compliance.

Dictated & corrected by me

Sd/-

Chief Judge
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.

Sd/-

Chief Judge
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.