

IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDGE, CITY SESSIONS COURT, CALCUTTA.

Criminal Revision No. 78 of 2025

CNR – WBCS01-000177-2025

Present: Sri Sukumar Ray,

Chief Judge,

City Sessions Court, Calcutta,

JO Code No. WB00590

Order No.04 dated 16.05.2025

Today is fixed for filing reply of show cause by the revisionist, i.d. the instant criminal revisional application will be dismissed due to non taking any steps.

Ld. Advocate for the revisionist is present by filing hazira and also files the show cause petition.

Heard Ld. Advocate for the petition.

Perused the show cause petition.

It appears that the show cause petition filed by the revisionist seems to be satisfactory one and if the show cause petition is allowed for ends of justice, the other side will not be prejudiced. Hence, the show cause petition is accepted.

It appears that the revisionist has filed the instant revisional application challenging the order dated 10.02.2025 passed by the Ld. Senior Municipal Magistrate, Calcutta in connection with G.R Case no. 178 of 2021.

The revisional application is filed within the statutory period of limitation. So there is no legal bar or impediment to admit the instant revisional application. Accordingly, the instant revision is admitted.

Ld. Advocate for the revisionist filed an application praying for staying the impugned order dated 10.02.2025 passed by the Ld. Senior Municipal Magistrate, Calcutta in connection with G.R Case no. 178 of 2021.

Heard. Considered.

The prayer for staying the impugned order dated 10.02.2025 passed by the Ld. Senior Municipal Magistrate, Calcutta in connection with G.R Case no. 178 of 2021 is allowed for ends of justice.

The impugned order dated 10.02.2025 passed by the Ld. Senior Municipal Magistrate, Calcutta in connection with G.R Case no. 178 of 2021 is stayed till next date (**05.07.2025**).

Issue notice upon respondent/State.

The revisionist to file requisites at once.

Call for TCR.

Fix **05.07.2025** for S.R and appearance.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld. Court below for information.

The petition dated 24.03.2025 filed by the petitioner namely Arijit Chatterjee is taken up for hearing.

Hazira is filed on behalf of Arijit Chatterjee, the proposed respondent.

Heard both sides.

It appears from the record that the revisionist by filing a petition dated 07.04.2025 stated that due to inadvertence the name of the accused Arijit Chatterjee could not be cited as respondent no.2 in the instant revisional application.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that petitioner is required to be made a party in the instant matter for proper adjudication of the instant revision and so the petitioner be added as respondent no.2 in the instant revision.

Accordingly, the petition filed on behalf of the Arijit Chatterjee on 24.03.2024 is allowed and the accused person, Arijit Chatterjee be added as respondent no.2.

Revisionist is directed to supply the copy of the revision to respondent no.2/accused person.

Office to note in the relevant register.

System Officer to add the name in CIS.

Dictated & corrected by me

Chief Judge,
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.

Chief Judge,
City Sessions Court, Calcutta.