

Sessions Case no.65 of 2024
CNR-WBCS01-000943-2024
Present : Sri Koustuv Mukhopadhyay
Addl. Dist & Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Court No.1, Calcutta

Order No. 24
02.04.2026

1. The record is taken up for evidence.
2. Accused person is produced from J/C.
3. PW-5, Liakat Sk. is examined-in-chief in full but his cross examination is deferred as the Ld. Defence Counsel filed a petition u/s 254(3) BNSS which was considered and allowed.
4. The record is now taken up for hearing of the bail application.
5. The bail petition is filed and the Ld. Advocate for the accused person submits that this accused person has spent a substantial amount of time in custody, and if he is not granted bail then his life & personal liberty will be ruined.
6. He prays for bail on the ground that there is no reason to detain him further in the custody.
7. Ld. Advocate for the accused person further submits that this accused person has permanent residence at Kolkata and a family to look after and hence there is no chance of his absconding.
8. Ld. Advocate also submits that the accused deserves to be enlarged on bail subject to any terms and conditions particularly when there is no possibility of immediate conclusion of this trial.

9. Ld. PP raised objection and submitted that on previous occasions i.e. on 29.01.2026 and 12.02.2026, bail prayer was rejected by passing a reasoned order and there has been no development in the circumstances justify this prayer for bail.
10. According to Ld. PP, there has not been any change of circumstances and sufficient amount of incriminating materials have come against this accused person during evidence for which he needs to be detained further in the custody.
11. He further submitted that if the accused is granted bail then he may get absconded and the trial may get hampered which is presently running in a smooth manner.
12. Considered both sides submissions.
13. Gone through the previous two orders of this Court, wherein the prayer for bail was elaborately considered & discussed, and despite the same, this Court was not inclined to allow the prayer for bail.
14. In my considered view, there has not been any change in circumstances as rightly pointed out by Ld. PP.
15. After going through the evidence that has so far come, it appears to me that certainly substantial amount of incriminating materials have come against this accused person.
16. In my considered view, the chance of absconding cannot be ruled out.

17. Accordingly, the prayer for bail stands rejected as I believe that same shall cause undue prejudice to the trial.
18. This is a trial under BNSS and accordingly, the next two dates of production be fixed on 16.04.2026 and 30.04.2026.
19. On that dates accused person shall be produced from J/C, but evidence will be taken up again on 11.05.2026.
20. To **(16.04.2026 and 30.04.2026)** for production as per mandate laid down in first proviso clause of Section 346 of BNSS.
21. To **(11.05.2026)** for production and appearance.

D/C by me

Addl. Dist & Sessions Judge
Fast Track Court 1, Calcutta

Addl. Dist & Sessions Judge
Fast Track Court 1, Calcutta
JO Code No.WB01059