

State vs Saral Arora      BA 2334-2019  
PBAS010123952019

Present:      Shri Mukesh Nanda, learned Counsel for the applicant-accused.  
                 Ms. Neelam, learned Addl. PP for the State.

Applicant-accused Saral Arora filed this bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No. 74/2012, under Sections 420, 467, 468 & 471 of IPC, Police Station Sadar, Amritsar.

Notice of the bail application was issued to the State and learned Addl. PP for the State appeared and opposed the bail application.

I have heard the learned Counsel for the applicant-accused and learned Addl. PP for the State and have gone through the record.

Learned Counsel for applicant-accused argued that applicant-accused is innocent and has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has been wrongly declared proclaimed offender as he has never been served in any manner. Applicant-accused is ready to join the proceedings and prayed that bail application be allowed.

On the other hand, learned Addl. PP for the State, assisted by Shri K.D. Gauri, learned Counsel for the complainant, opposed the bail application and argued that applicant-accused is already a proclaimed offender. He has committed a serious offence and it is not a fit case, where concession of bail can be given to accused and prayed that bail application

be dismissed.

As per record, applicant-accused was declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 22.08.2016 by the Court of Ms. Ravi Inder Kaur, the then learned ACJM, Amritsar as he had avoided the process of law. Moreover, keeping in view the seriousness of the offence alleged to have been committed by accused as well as he is already a proclaimed offender, bail application not maintainable and same is ordered to be dismissed. File be consigned to the record room.

Dated: 14.05.2019

*\* janesh \**

(Harjeet Singh),  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Amritsar.  
(Unique Identification No. PB0131)