

Misc. Case No. 3082 of 2017

Order No. 184

07.12.2019

Both parties take steps through their respective Ld. Advocate. On behalf of the petitioner, one time petition is filed and the same is seen by other side.

Ld. Lawyer for the OP strongly raises objection by submitting that today is fixed for marking some documents by the petitioner with the consent of the OP and witness to be cross-examined thereafter. In this aspect, ground for adjournment for the absence of the Junior Lawyer of the petitioner will be no barrier for taking evidence as because witness to be cross-examined by OP. It is further submitted that case to be proceeded at the earliest and adjournment petition not to be granted because petitioner has been enjoying the stay order on execution of the decree.

However, on hearing both sides, for the ends of justice, case is adjourned by allowing the time prayer of the petitioner subject to the payment of Rs. 2,000/- as CP.

To 15.02.2020 for further examination-in-chief of PW1. Ad interim order as prayed for is extended till the next date.

Dictated & corrected by me:

Judge

Judge

Misc. Case No. 3083 of 2017

Order No. 185

07.12.2019

Both parties take steps through their respective Ld. Advocate. On behalf of the petitioner, Rakesh Jaiswal, one time petition is filed and the same is seen by other side.

Ld. Lawyer for the petitioner strongly raises objection.

However, on hearing both sides, for the ends of justice, the case is adjourned.

To 15.02.2020 for further cross-examination of OPW1 by the Ld. Lawyer for the petitioner. Ad interim order as prayed for is extended till the next date.

Dictated & corrected by me:

Judge

Judge