

In the court of Ms. Amandeep Kaur,
Additional Sessions Judge,
Patiala.(PB0207)

BA No.1814-2022
CNR No.PBPT01005773-2022
Date of Decision:29.06.2022

Birbal Khan vs. State of Punjab

FIR No. 160 dated 23.06.2021

U/s 379-B,201,411 IPC

Police Station Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala.

Application filed U/s 439 of Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail.

Present: Sh. Mandeep Verma, Advocate for bail applicant
Sh. Gaurav Dewan, Addl. PP for State

ORDER:-

1. This order of mine shall dispose off regular bail application moved by the present bail applicant in case FIR No. 160 dated 23.06.2021, U/s 379-B,201,411 IPC, Police Station Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, the accused was arrested on the allegations that he snatched purse containing aadhar card, mobile phone and currency notes of Rs. 15,000/- belonging to the complainant. FIR under Sections 379-B,201,411 IPC was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the bail applicant pressed the bail application on the grounds that the bail applicant is an innocent person who has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is in judicial custody since 30.07.2021. He prayed that the accused is in custody since long and he should be granted bail.

4. Learned Addl PP for the State opposed the bail application on the grounds that the bail applicant has committed heinous crime and as such, he does not deserve any leniency and prayed for dismissal of bail application.

5 I have heard and perused the record. Perusal of the record shows that the accused/bail applicant is in custody since 30.07.2021. As per the case of the prosecution, the accused was arrested on the allegations that he snatched ear ring belonging to the complainant. If the accused is granted bail, he may tamper with the evidence. His release would hamper the investigation of the case. Perusal of the police record shows that there as many as three FIRs registered under Section 379-B IPC against the bail applicant. However, the counsel for the bail applicant could not deny the registration of FIRs against the bail applicant. From the aforesaid record, the bail applicant seems to be habitually involved in similar offences. If the bail applicant is released on bail, then, there is every possibility that he will come into contact with such like elements and thereby indulge into activities like snatching. Even otherwise, such like activities are required to be curbed. As such, the bail applicant is not entitled to grant of bail and the same stands dismissed. Police record be returned. Bail application be attached with the Judicial file, if any, otherwise be consigned to record room.

Date of order:
29.06.2022

Rakesh Mittal,
Stenographer I

Amandeep Kaur
Addl. Sessions Judge, Patiala
UID NO. PB0207