

IN THE COURT OF AJIT PAL SINGH,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, FAZILKA.
(UID NO.PB0226)

Case Details

Case Type	BA
Regn. No.	BA/ 1814/2025 Date of Regn.08.07.2025
CNR No.	PBFZC0-0044762025
Date of order	16.07.2025.

Sunil Kumar @ Sunny Baghla aged about years son of Darshan Singh @
Laddu resident of Dashmesh Nagar, Chhinderpal M.C. Wali Gali,
Jalalabad now at 80 Sector Mohali Village Mulivedwal.

...Applicant.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

~~~

FIR No.34 dated 05.02.2021  
U/s.302, 307, 324, 323, 201, 148, 149 IPC and  
U/s 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act  
Police Station City Jalalabad

~~~

Regular Bail application

Present: Shri S.S.Mann, Advocate counsel for applicant.
 Shri Gurbaj Singh, Additional PP for the State.

ORDER:

1. Present application filed on behalf of applicant/accused Sunil

Kumar @ Sunny Baghla seeking regular bail in the matter, details of

which have been referred above, shall be disposed off through this order.
2. This FIR was registered on the statement of complainant

Neelam Rani with the allegations that on 04.02.2021 at about 8:00 PM her

son Rahul Sukhija after being alighted from his motorcycle was standing

near the fritters shop of Rajan Chhabra. At that time about 8-9 persons

while being armed with Kapas and fire arms came there on motorcycles and started intimidating/threatening her son who tried to enter inside the shop of Rajan Chhabra and during that process the said unknown assailants dragged her son into the street and in order to kill him gave several blows of Kapa on his person. They also fired a bullet at her son as a result of which, her son received injury on his face, forehead and head. He raised hue and cry, as a result of which persons started gathering there and the assailants fled away from the spot alongwith their respective weapons. This statement of complainant was recorded on 05.02.2021 whereas she also suffered supplementary statement as incorporated through GD No. 24 dated 11.02.2021 through which complainant Neelam Rani disclosed the identity of the assailants by naming the applicant Sunil Kumar as one of the members of the assailant party.

3. Notice of the bail application was served upon the State through learned Additional PP alongwith copy of bail application, who received the same, appeared and contested the bail application on merits. Police record was requisitioned which has been received and perused.

4. I have heard the respective contentions of both the learned Advocates and have perused the record with their able assistance.

5. The applicant-accused alongwith non-applicants/ accused attacked the son of complainant Neelam Rani. The accused were armed with kapas and also fired upon the son of complainant (since deceased). The FIR was registered against the unknown persons but later on vide rapat No.24 dated 11.02.2021, the accused have been nominated in this

case and also the offence under Section 302 IPC has been enhanced. The allegations are of very serious nature. The only argument of learned defence counsel is to the effect that the brother of the complainant who has deposed as a witness in this Court has not supported the case of the prosecution but this argument is not tenable as the complainant has supported the the case of the prosecution and more so the offences against the applicant-accused are of very serious nature and if the applicant-accused is granted bail, he may either abscond or try to threaten the witnesses as such, finding no ground to allow the bail application, the same stands declined. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated: 16.07.2025.

vinay

Ajit Pal Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge,
Fazilka : UID No.PB0226