

=====

**IN THE CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS COURT,
AHMEDABAD.**

Criminal Misc. Application No.91/2022

Applicant: Natvarsinh Himmatsinh Solanki
Age : 54 years, Occu: Labour
Residing at :
I-2, Om Soci., Rabari Colony,
Ramol, Ahmedabad.

(At present in Central Jail, Sabarmati)

Versus

Opponent : The State of Gujarat

=====

Appearance :

Mr. M. D. Kunwar, learned advocate for applicant.

Learned P.P. for the opponent - State.

ORDER BELOW EXH.1.

1. The applicant-accused have preferred this application under Section 439 of Cr. P. C. for releasing him on bail for the offence registered at Ramol Police Station vide Prohi.-C.R.No.11191024212157/2021, punishable under Section 66(1)(B), 65(A)(E), 116(B), 98(2), 81 of the Prohibition Act, on suitable terms and conditions.
2. Heard learned advocate for the applicant-accused as well as learned P.P. for the State and gone through the affidavit filed by I.O.
3. I have gone through the police papers as well as heard the learned advocates for both the parties. As per the prosecution case, on dated 30-12-2021 the complainant and others police personnel were on their duty and doing patrolling within the limit of Ramol police station and on secret

information the search was conducted and his car was checked then found 54 bottles of Indian made foreign liquor worth Rs.30,000/- and he was arrested. I have carefully gone through the police papers. The co-accused namely Suresh Bisnoi has been granted bail vide Cr.M.A. NO.54/2022 by this Court in the present case hence, without commenting anything on the merits of the case the present applicant-accused is also entitled to have bail on the ground of principle of parity. Therefore, considering the police papers and statements and looking to the accusation against the applicant-accused, it requires longer time to conduct the trial. Therefore, I am of the view to enlarge the applicant-accused on bail. Therefore, looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, there is no need to give detailed and elaborate reasons for the same, if I do so, then it will adversely affect the right of the parties as well as investigation. Therefore, considering to the papers minutely, I am of the view to enlarge the applicant-accused on bail on the following terms and conditions. Hence, I pass following order:-

ORDER

The bail application is hereby allowed.

The applicant-accused **Natvarsinh Himmatsinh Solanki** is ordered to be enlarged on bail in connection with the offence registered at Ramol Police Station vide Prohi.-C.R.No.11191024212157/2021, punishable under Section 66(1)(B), 65(A)(E), 116(B), 98(2), 81 of the Prohibition Act, on executing personal bond of Rs.15,000/- with one surety of the like amount by each subject to the following conditions that he shall;

- a) not take undue advantage of his liberty or abuse his liberty;
- b) not to try to temper or pressurize the prosecution witnesses or complainant in any manner;
- c) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;
- d) furnish the address of his residence to the I.O. and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence

without prior permission of the competent Court having jurisdiction to try the trial.

Bail before lower Court.

Pronounced in Open Court today on this 06th day of January, 2022.

Place : Ahmedabad

Date : 06-01-2022

alkesh

[Dilipkumar Dhirajlal Thakkar]

GJ00405

Additional Sessions Judge

Court No.5

City Civil & Sessions Court,
Ahmedabad