

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE FOR SPEEDY TRIAL OF
OFFENCES UNDER POCSO ACT,2012, NELLORE.**

(COURT OF SESSION: NELLORE DIVISION:NELLORE)

Present:**Ms.SAILAJA KAMPALLE,**
Special Judge for Speedy Trial of Offences under POCSO Act-2012,
NELLORE.

Saturday, this the First (1st) day of August 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.536 of 2026.

(Crime No.53/2026 under Section 137 (2), 78 (1) (i) BNS & Section 11 r/w
12 of POCSO Act, 2012)

**Dara Chandu,
S/o.Brahmaiah,
aged 25 years,
Jaladanki village and Mandal,
SPSR Nellore District.**

.. Petitioner/ Accused

Vs.

The State represented by its
Station House Officer,
Jaladanki Police Station.

... Respondent/complainant.

This petition is coming before me on 30.07.2026 for final hearing in the presence of **Sri K.Chittibabu**, Advocate for petitioner/ accused and of **Sri D.Chandra Sekhar**, Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides, this Court is having stood over for consideration till this date and this Court delivered the following:

ORDER

This is a 2nd bail application filed by the petitioner/accused under Section 483 BNSS to enlarge him on regular bail in Crime No.53 of 2026 of Jaladanki Police station for the offences punishable under Sections 137 (2), 78 (1) (i) BNS and Section 11 r/w 12 of POCSO Act, 2012.

2. **The brief facts of the case of prosecution is that:-**

The accused being the auto driver asked the victim girl, aged about 16 years to board his auto and while proceeding in the Auto accused commented victim girl that she looks well and also he loves her and in that process after completion of examination of victim girl accused roamed around the house of victim girl by blowing his vehicle horn and in the night of 12.06.2026 at about 9.00 P.M., accused forcibly taken victim girl to Hyderabad and after knowing about the lodging report by the parents of victim girl, on 14.06.2026 accused taken victim girl to Jaladanki and left at Jaladanki Bus Stand and went away by threatening victim girl that if she reveals these incidents to anybody, he will die. Basing on the report of mother of victim girl, this case has been registered.

3. Notice given to the learned Special Public Prosecutor, who opposed the bail application.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused submitted that petitioner/accused is an innocent person and he is nothing to do with the offence in question, but he is falsely implicated in this case because of inter caste disputes between defacto complainant and the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused further submitted that petitioner/accused is in judicial custody since 15.06.2026 and if he continued in the judicial custody, his family members will be put to hardship as the petitioner's/accused father and mother are aged persons

and suffering from ill-health and petitioner/accused has to look after their welfare. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused further submitted that petitioner/accused is ready and willing to produce sufficient sureties and obey the conditions if any imposed by this Court and prayed to grant regular bail to the petitioner/accused.

5. The learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed this bail application on the ground that the investigation in this case is pending and prayed to dismiss the bail application.

6. Heard both sides.

7. Now the point that would be arises for consideration is :
Whether the petitioner/accused can be
enlarged on regular bail ?

POINT:

8. Perused the case diary file. As seen from the case diary file, it is clear that this is a case of sexual harassment against minor victim girl by stalking and in furtherance thereto kidnapping minor victim girl from her lawful guardian ship. It is also clear from the case diary file that investigation agency had examined 7 witnesses so far. Still the investigation of this case is pending for want of examination of some more witnesses. Therefore, by considering the nature of the offence and the fact that the investigation in this case is pending at material stage, this Court is not inclined to allow this application. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

9. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected and pronounced by me *in the Open Court on this the 1st day of August 2026.*

Sd/- Sailaja Kampalle,
Special Judge for Speedy Trial of Offences
under POCSO Act, 2012, Nellore.

Copies to—

- 1) The Special Public Prosecutor, Special Court for speedy Trial of Offences under POCSO Act, Nellore.
- 2) The Station House officer, Jaladanki police station.
- 3) Sri K.Chitti Babu, Learned counsel for petitioner/accused.

// True copy //

Sd/-xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Chief Administrative Officer,
Court of Special Judge for Speedy Trial of Offences under POCSO Act,
2012, Nellore.