

**IN THE COURT OF KAWALJIT SINGH,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE (VACATION JUDGE), SANGRUR.
(UID Number PB0155)**

Case Type	Bail Application
Filing Number	3853/2025 Filing Date: 06.06.2025
Registration Number	1904/2025 Date of Registration: 06.06.2025
CNR Number	PBSG0100-4824-2025
Date of Decision	17.06.2025

1. Gursharan Singh alias Laddi, aged about 33 years, son of Harkesh Singh,
2. Jagmel Singh, aged about 65 years, son of Labh Singh, both residents of village Rogla, Tehsil Dirba, District Sangrur.

...Applicants/accused

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Application under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita

FIR No.87 dated 05.06.2025

Under Sections 115 (2), 118 (1), 126 (2), 190, 191 (3) BNS
Police Station Dirba

Present: Shri S.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for applicants/accused
 Shri Munish Singla, Public Prosecutor for the State

ORDER

The applicants/accused had filed this application under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, for releasing them on anticipatory bail.

2. The present case FIR has been registered on the basis of statement of complainant namely Kuldeep Ram made to the effect that he is an agriculturist. He has taken the land on lease and deals in sand, gravels and cement. On 03.06.2025 he was going on his tractor trolley to

his work place taken on lease, then at about 10:A.M., Laddi Singh armed with Dang, Tinku Singh armed with Gandasi and Roda Singh armed with Dang along with two unidentified persons armed with Dangs, came there. He alighted from his tractor and those persons raised lalkara to teach him a lesson for taking the land on lease. Meanwhile Tinku gave Gandasi blow on his right ear, Laddi Singh gave Dang blow on his back, Roda Singh gave Dang blow on his left wrist, on which he fell down. While he was lying on ground, all the accused persons gave number of Dang and kick blows. He raised alarm, then his father Leela Ram came there. Tinku Singh gave Gandasi blow from its rear side on the right knee of his father. Laddi Singh gave Dang blow, which hit on the foot of his father. Roda Singh gave Dang blow on the fingers of right hand of his father. Both of them raised alarm. Then all the accused persons after them giving beatings, fled away from the spot along with their respective weapons. Thereafter his brother Harmesh Ram got them admitted in Civil Hospital Kauhrian.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicants/accused that applicants/accused are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case FIR. All the offences are bailable in nature. Now earlier offence under Section 325 IPC has been made non-bailable offence. It is submitted that the injury alleged to be attributed to the applicant no.1/accused is simple and is alleged to have been caused on the non vital part of the body i.e. on back of complainant and foot of father of complainant. No injury is attributed to the applicant no.2/accused who

otherwise is of old age of 65 years and has been name to rope into FIR all the family members. In fact the dispute is with regard to some land, but on false and concocted version, present case FIR has been registered. It is submitted that applicants/accused are ready to join the investigation and will co-operate with the same and prayed that applicants/accused may kindly be released on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed this bail application and prayed for dismissal of the application.

5. I have considered the contentions raised by both the learned counsel for the parties.

6. From perusal of the record, it comes out that attribution made to the applicant no.1/accused is to have caused simple injury with blunt weapon on the non vital part of the body i.e. on the back side of the complainant and on the foot of his father. No injury is specifically attributed to the applicant no.2/accused. Authenticity of version of the complainant is essentially a matter of evidence. Applicants/accused are not found involved in any other case. Therefore, in view of the facts and circumstances of the present case meanwhile the applicants/accused are directed to join the investigation before 23.06.2025 and in the event of their arrest, they shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer, subject to the following conditions:-

1. That applicants/accused shall appear before learned Investigating Officer as and when they are called upon.
2. That the applicants/accused shall not leave India without the prior permission of the court.
3. That the applicants/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade from disclosing such facts to the court, during trial.

7. Adjourned to 23.06.2025 for awaiting report from concerned police station as to whether applicants/accused have joined the investigation or not and as to whether they have co-operated with the investigation or not? However, it is reiterated that observations made herein above shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the main case.

Announced: 17.06.2025

**Kawaljit Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge (Vacation Judge),
Sangrur.
UID Number PB0155**

Amritpal Singh, Stenographer Grade-I