

POCSO Case No. 81 of 2024

POCSO Trial No. 02 (03) 2025.

Order No. 01 :

Dated: 20-03-2025.

Accused Sudhangshu @ Sadhangshu Barman @ Hriday is produced virtually from the correctional home and remanded to J/C.

Other accused Hiten Barman, Himangshu Barman, Sadananda Barman and Mayna Barman on C/B are present with their Ld. Counsel, **Sri Ratul Roy.**

Ld. Special P.P, **Taherul Islam** is also present.

Today is fixed for appearance and consideration of charge.

Heard both sides.

Record is taken up for the same.

Gone through the materials on record and it appears that there is no any prima-facie materials to frame charge against the accused *Himangshu Barman, Sadananda Barman. Accordingly they are stand discharged from this case u/s 250 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. They are discharged from their bail bonds.*

Ld. Special P.P submits that there are sufficient incriminating materials against the accused Sudhangshu @ Sadhangshu Barman @ Hriday **under section 4 of POCSO Act** and as such charges may be framed against him for the said offence. There are also sufficient incriminating materials against accused Hiten Barman and Mayna Barman **under section 4/17 of POCSO Act** and as such charge may be framed against them for the said offence.

Heard both sides.

Considering the submission of both the sides and having regard to the materials in the C.D and record, I am of the view that the prosecution has been able to show that sufficient materials have been collected against accused Sudhangshu @ Sadhangshu Barman @ Hriday involving him in the alleged offence **under section 4 of POCSO Act** and for the offence **under section 4/17 of POCSO Act** against accused Hiten Barman and Mayna Barman for which the trial can be proceeded against them and the present accused presumably be punished accordingly.

Accordingly, respective charges are framed against the accused persons. The contents of the respective charges are read over and explained to the respective accused persons to which they individually pleaded not guilty by saying '**Ami Nirdosh**' and claimed to be tried.

Formal charge is kept with the record in separate sheet. Trial will proceed on the following schedule.

At this stage Ld. lawyer for the accused Sudhangshu @ Sadhangshu Barman @ Hriday files a petition praying for bail on the ground stated therein but none moved the bail petition.

Hence, the bail prayer of accused is rejected being not moved.

To 05-05-2025 for evidence of C.S.W. Nos. 1 & 2.

06-05-2025 for evidence of C.S.W. Nos. 3 & 4.

07-05-2025 for evidence of C.S.W. Nos. 5 & 6.

Issue summons to witness accordingly.

Accused as before.

Prosecution is directed to supply the written up summons for issuing & causing service.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Judge,
Spl. Court (POCSO)-cum-
Additional Dist. & Sess. Judge,
Dinhata.

Sd/-

Judge,
Spl. Court (POCSO)-cum-
Additional Dist. & Sess. Judge,
Dinhata.