

Gurjant Singh @ Janta Vs. State of Punjab

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI AVTAR SINGH BARDA,
JUDGE SPECIAL COURT, PATIALA
UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION CODE NO. (PB0159)**

CNR no. PBPT010000372026
CIS No. BA 19 dated 3.1.2026
Date of Order: 28.1.2026

Gurjant Singh @ Janta aged 30 years son of Naib Singh resident of House No. 312, Street No. 4, Dhiru Ki Majri, Civil Lines, Patiala.

..... Bail applicant

Vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

F.I.R.No. 239 dated 27.11.2025

U/s 21/27/61/85 of NDPS Act.

P.S. Kotwali Patiala.

**BAIL APPLICATION U/S 483 Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita for grant of regular
bail**

Present: Sh. Pankaj Sharma, Advocate for applicant-accused
Shri Vikas Garg, learned Addl.P.P for the State.

ORDER

1. Police record received. Heard on the bail application filed by accused under section 483 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita for grant of regular bail

2. In brief the case of prosecution is that on 27.11.2025 a police party headed by SI Gurbinder Singh was going from the side of Dakala Octroi Post towards Dakala side in connection with patrolling and search of bad elements. At about 7:40 p.m. when police party reached backside Shish Mehal on Dakala road, then accused was seen coming on foot who on seeing

Gurjant Singh @ Janta Vs. State of Punjab

the police party threw one polythene envelope by taking it out from his right pocket and on the basis of suspicion of accused was apprehended and 18 gram Heroin was recovered from the said polythene thrown by accused which was taken into police possession and accused was arrested in the present case after completing the procedural formalities.

3. Notice of bail application was given to the State upon which, Sh. Vikas Garg learned Additional Public Prosecutor put in appearance.

4. Learned counsel for bail applicant has argued that bail applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has further argued that no recovery is to be effected from the bail applicant and accused-applicant is in custody since 27.11.2025. He further argued that completion of trial will take time and no useful purpose will be served by further detaining the accused in custody. In the last prayer is made for allowing bail.

5. On the other hand, learned Addl. PP for State has vehemently opposed the arguments of learned counsel for bail applicant and has argued that narcotics has been recovered from the possession of applicant-accused and he does not deserve the concession of bail. In last, he prayed that bail application of bail applicant may be dismissed.

6. After hearing arguments submitted by learned Addl. PP for State as well as that of learned defence counsel and going through the police record, it is revealed that as per prosecution version, accused Gurjant Singh @ Janta was apprehended in this case and from his possession 18 grams of Heroin was recovered. The report of FSL has already been received in this case and as per report the salt of powder sent for analysis is

Gurjant Singh @ Janta Vs. State of Punjab

Diacetylmorphine (Heroin). Thus the recovered quantity of intoxicant powder falls under non commercial category. The applicant-accused is in custody since 27.11.2025 and his custody is no longer required for further investigation of this case. Conclusion of trial will take long time and no useful purpose would be served by detaining him in the custody, rather it will be a burden on state exchequer.

8. Resultantly, the present bail application is allowed and the applicant-accused is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.70,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned CJM/duty Magistrate, Patiala subject to the following conditions.

(i) That accused/applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(ii) That accused/applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

(iii) That accused/applicant shall appear in the court on each and every date of hearing.

9. The Learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, Patiala shall send the bail/surety bonds to this court after acceptance of bail bonds immediately. Police record be returned under rules against proper receipt whereas bail application file be attached with the remand papers.

Pronounced:28.1.2026
jaspal Singh, stenographer I

(Avtar Singh Barda)
Judge Special Court,
Patiala
UID No.(PB0159)

This order has been directly dictated on the computer.

(Avtar Singh Barda), JSC, Patiala
UID no. PB0159

Gurjant Singh @ Janta Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Sh. Pankaj Sharma, Advocate for applicant-accused
Shri Vikas Garg, learned Addl.P.P for the State.

Police record received. Arguments heard and vide my separate detailed order of even date, the bail application of applicant-accused is allowed. Police record be returned under rules against proper receipt whereas bail application file be attached with the remand papers.

Pronounced:28.1.2026
jaspal Singh, stenographer I

(Avtar Singh Barda)
Judge Special Court,
Patiala
UID No.(PB0159)
This order has been directly dictated on the computer.