27 CC NI ACT 22345/2026
HDFC BANK LIMITED Vs. BHEEMARAYA ANAND ELEGAON
/0 ()
10.07.2026

Fresh complaint u/s 25 of PASSA Act received by way of assignment. It be checked and registered as per rules.

Present: Ms. Purnima, Counsel for complainant (through V.C.).

In view of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in the case of ***Sanjabij Tari v. Kishore S. Borcar and Anr., Crl. Appeal No. 1755 of 2010***, the requirement to issue summons to the accused in terms of Section 223, BNSS i.e. at pre-cognizance stage has been dispensed with.

Case is prima-facie within limitation. This court takes cognizance for the offence u/s 25 of PSSA.

Arguments on point of summoning of accused heard. Complaint and documents filed therewith perused.

Upon consideration of complaint, documents filed, prima facie there appears to be sufficient ground to proceed against the accused **u/s 25 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007.**

Accordingly, I take cognizance, let **summons be issued upon accused** upon filing of PF/RC/speed post within 15 days through all permissible modes including e.mail and Whatsapp service.

As per the guidelines laid down in the case titled as **'Damodar S. Prabhu Vs. Sayed Baba Lal H', AIR 2010 (SC) 1907,** Ahlmad is directed to make a mention on the summons (add separate sheet, if required) that the accused can make an application for compounding of the offence at the first or second hearing of the case and, if such an application is made, compounding may be allowed by the court without imposing any cost on the accused.

Put up for reports on summons on **18.11.2026.**

(Swayam Siddha Tripathy)
JMFC-02/Dwarka Courts
New Delhi/10.07.2026

SWAYAM SIDDHA TRIPATHY

Digitally signed by SWAYAM SIDDHA TRIPATHY
Date: 2026.07.10 16:12:07 +0530