

POCSO Case No.24 of 2019

Order No.04, dt. 24.12.2019 :-

Ld. P.P is present. Sole accused is produced from J/C and placed on dock.

A bail petition is filed on behalf of accused. Copy served. Petition is taken up for hearing. Heard both sides. Considered. Perused the petition. Considered. It is stated that if accused is enlarged on bail then he will not flee away.

Ld. P.P raised objection.

On perusal of record, I find that it has been alleged that often accused used to disturb the victim who was aged about 16 years. On the relevant day while victim was going to take tuition, accused forcefully took her behind Mathura Garden under threat and committed rape upon her. Perused the C.D. C.D reveals incriminating materials against the accused person. Investigation is still going on. Further I would like to say that no ground has been mentioned in the bail petition as to why the accused should be enlarged on bail.

Considering all aspects, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused on bail. Prayer for bail is rejected. Accused is remanded to J/C. CD be returned.

To 07.01.20 for production of the accused and IO's report.

Call for C.D.

Dictated & Corrected,

*Judge POCSO Court-Cum-
Addl. Dist. & Sess. Judge,
2nd Court, Cooch Behar.*

*Judge POCSO Court-Cum-
Addl. Dist. & Sess. Judge,
2nd Court, Cooch Behar.*