

26 CC NI ACT / 6513/2026
Mayank Jain Vs. Sangita Jena

08.08.2026

Present: Ld. Counsel for the complainant along with complainant.

Matter is listed for filing of GST certificate / further proceedings.

GST certificate of accused firm filed. Be taken on record.

Perusal of the record shows that there is no proof of service of legal demand notice on the proposed accused.

Ld. Counsel for the complainant seeks time to move an appropriate affidavit verifying that the accused is residing at the same address only, where the demand notice was served in compliance of judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in case titled as HDFC Bank Ltd. Vs. Amit Kumar Singh, 2009 and in mandate of judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CC. Alavi Haji V Palapetty Mohd. & Another 2007.

Allowed.

Put up again on 13/11/2027.

(Garima Garg)
JMFC(NI Act)-08,
Central/ THC/ Delhi 08.08.2026