

51 CC NI ACT / 2038/2026 MONEYBOXX FINANCE LIMITED Vs.
RAM DHAN BALAI / ()

08.08.2026

Fresh case received by way of assignment. Let it be checked and registered as per rules.

Present: Sh. Mukul Singh Rana, Ld. Counsel for complainant via VC.

Complaint and documents annexed with the file perused. Complaint is within limitation and within the jurisdiction of this Court. I hereby take cognizance of the offence punishable u/s 138 NI Act.

Arguments on summoning heard.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported as *A.C. Narayanan vs. State of Maharashtra (2014) 11 SCC 790* has held as under,

“29. From a conjoint reading of Sections 138, 142 and 145 of the N.I. Act as well as Section 200 of the Code, it is clear that it is open to the Magistrate to issue process on the basis of the contents of the complaint, documents in support thereof and the affidavit submitted by the complainant in support of the complaint....

...33.4 In the light of Section 145 of the NI Act, it is open to the Magistrate to rely upon the verification in the form of affidavit filed by the complainant in support of the complaint under Section 138 of the NI Act and the Magistrate is neither mandatorily obliged to call upon the complainant to remain present before the Court, nor to examine the complainant or his witness upon oath for taking the decision whether or not to issue process on the complaint under Section 138 of the NI Act.”

Similar directions have been issued in *Indian Bank Association & Ors. vs. Union of India (2014) 5 SCC 590* and *Rajesh Agarwal vs. State (2010) 171 DLT 51*.

On consideration of the complaint, annexed documents and the verification affidavit of the complainant, this Court is of the opinion that *prima facie* there are sufficient grounds for proceeding against the accused mentioned below for the commission of offence under Section 138, Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. There is no requirement for examination of the complainant or witnesses for purpose

of issuance of process in view of the above-mentioned directions of the Hon'ble Apex Court and Hon'ble Delhi High Court. In terms of inquiry, all the statutory requirements have been complied with. The complaint is filed within the period of limitation as prescribed under Section 142(1)(b) of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

Let accused **Ram Dhan Balai** be summoned on filing of PF, through all modes **including dasti. Compliance of the same be done within 15 days from today.**

Complainant is directed to place the tracking report of summons sent through speed post on record on the next date.

As per the guidelines laid down as in the case titled as ***Damodar S. Prabhu Vs. Sayed Babalal H, AIR 2010 (SC) 1907, Ahlmad*** is directed to make a mention on the summons issued against the accused (by adding separate sheet, if required) that “accused can make an application for compounding of the offence at the first and second hearing of the case and if such an application is made, compounding may be allowed by the Court without imposing any costs on the accused.”

Put up for appearance of accused on **10.02.2027**.

Shortest possible date has been given considering the court docket and that the court is functioning with only one stenographer.

(Shraddha Tripathi)
JMFC-02 (NI Act),
East /KKD/08.08.2026