

FIR No.211/30.12.2022
U/s 379,411,489 of IPC,
Police Station City Patti.

State Vs Sharanjit Singh @ Dhanna.

Present: Sh Iqbal Singh APP for the State.
Sh. K.S.Mand, Advocate counsel for accused/applicant.

Police record received. Heard on the bail application filed on behalf of accused/applicant Sharanjit Singh @ Dhanna. Learned counsel for the accused/applicant has argued that the accused /applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has committed no offence. He further argued that accused / applicant has been arrested on 30.12.2022 and since then accused /applicant is in custody and he is no more required for custodial interrogation. Learned counsel for the accused/ applicant also contended that accused /applicant undertakes to abide by the terms and conditions to be imposed in case of grant of bail.

On the other hand, learned APP for the State has opposed the present bail application on the ground that there are serious allegations against the accused / applicant and there is possibility of tempering of evidence by the accused /applicant in case he is released on bail. Therefore, learned APP for the State has prayed that present bail application be dismissed.

Accused/applicant has been arrested on 30.12.2022 in the present case for alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 379, 411, 489 of IPC and is in Judicial custody since 02.01.2023. I am of the considered view that no purpose would served by detaining the accused behind bars for an indefinite period. Hence, the accused/applicant is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of **Rs.50,000/-** with one surety in the like amount. Bail bonds and surety bonds on behalf of accused not furnished. Paper be attached with remand papers/FIR.

Date of Order: 20.02.2023

Stenographer: Arun

(Amandeep)

SDJM, Patti

UID No.PB0336.