

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

Present: Dr.R. Sathya, M.L., PhD

**I Additional Sessions Judge
(FAC) Principal Sessions Court.**

Thursday, the 13th day of August, 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 7774/2026

(CNR No. TNCH010191802026)

in

W-18, AWPS, Crime No. 35/2026

Syed Mushtaq Ahmed

.. Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
W-18, AWPS, MKB Nagar,
Chennai.

..Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of M/s.ARK Attorneys, R.Priyakumar, S.Sarasumuthu, Vijayakrishnan, R.P., Dyona Dany.D, the Counsel for the petitioner and of the CPP for respondent police and upon hearing both sides, this Court delivered the following,

ORDER

1. The petitioner, who was arrested on 10.08.2026 for the offences punishable u/s. 64(2)(c) r/w 62, 75(2) and 123 of BNS and sec. 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No. 35/2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged, he has been falsely implicated in this case by the respondent police. The complaint itself is lodged with a motivated and ulterior motives to tarnish the reputation of the petitioner and his family, who are all Doctors by profession. The allegation against the petitioner is that he attempted to rape the victim at about 6 pm, with approximately 20-30 patients waiting outside in the consultation room and as such the complaint does not have any shred of truth. The petitioner is 64 year old, suffering from various illness and cannot stand and sit down with ease, whereas it is stated that he forced the defacto complainant to the door and shut it and over powered her. More than 35 employees are working in the said nursing home including 25 women staffs and no such incident had been alleged so far. It is a concocted story. The petitioner aged 64

years, being a practising Doctor running a hospital for nearly 38 years, his continued detention would seriously affect the day to day functioning of the hospital and also cause hardship to the patients. The petitioner is in custody from 10.08.2026. Therefore, he prays for granting bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned CPP has submitted that the petitioner is a Doctor running a hospital, wherein the defacto complainant/victim joined as an employee/nurse 20 days earlier. The defacto complainant was invited by the petitioner to his room and sought her hand for a hand shake, when she refused, he had allegedly held her hand and kissed without her consent. On the next day, the petitioner invited the victim into his room and offered some money, on her refusal and when she was about to leave the room, the petitioner locked the door and injected some unknown substance into her and also kissed her on the cheek and inappropriately touched her and also removed her pant. The defacto complainant immediately unlocked the door and fell down. Based on the complaint given by her, the petitioner was arrested. The investigation is still in initial stage. The statement of the victim u/s. 183 of BNSS is yet to be recorded. Since the petitioner is a Doctor, if he is released on bail, he may influence the witnesses and tamper with the evidences which will hamper the investigation. Hence, he strongly opposed the petition.

5. The allegations against the petitioner is that the petitioner inappropriately touched and kissed the defacto complainant by repeatedly making sexual advances while the victim was working in the petitioner's nursing home as a nurse, thereby subjecting her to sexual harassment and causing her severe mental agony. In this connection, the accused was arrested on 10.08.2026 and is in incarceration since then. The learned CPP has submitted that the investigation is in crucial stage. The statement of the victim u/s. 183 of BNSS is yet to be recorded. Considering the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner and the gravity of the offence and the short duration of arrest, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

5. Hence, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by him directly, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 13th day of August, 2026.

**I Additional Sessions Judge
(FAC) Principal Sessions Judge**