

Pawan Kumar @ Pammu Vs State

Present: Sh. Abhey Jain, Advocate for applicant/accused
Sh. Ricky Chodha APP for the State

Heard, on the application moved by counsel for the accused for bail. It is averred in the application that the accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The learned counsel for the accused has prayed that the bail application filed on behalf of accused/applicant may kindly be allowed and accused may kindly be released on bail.

The bail application has been opposed by the Ld. APP stating that the same is not maintainable and he prayed that the bail application moved by accused may kindly be dismissed.

After hearing the Ld. counsel for the parties and perusing the file, it is evident that the accused is in custody since 31.10.2017. The conclusion of the trial will take sufficient time and no useful purpose will be served upon the accused to further behind the bar. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, accused Pawan Kumar @ Pammu is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.45,000/- with one surety in the like amount with the following conditions:

1. The applicant/accused shall not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court.
2. Applicant/accused shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses.
3. Applicant/accused will appear before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing.

Bail and surety bonds not furnished.

Announced in open Court:
17.02.2018

(Amandeep Kaur)
Sub Divisional, Judicial Magistrate
Phillaur