

182 of 2020 State Vs. Manjeet Singh
CNR No. PBPTC10016642020

FIR No. 334 dated 29.9.2020
U/S 61 Excise Act
P.S. Patran District Patiala.

Present: APP for the State.
Sh.HP Singh, Advocate, counsel for the accused.

This order of mine shall dispose of an application for bail filed on behalf of accused Manjeet Singh and put up before the undersigned being Duty Magistrate in FIR No. 334 dated 29.9.2020 Under Section 61 Excise Act. Counsel for applicant has stated that accused falsely implicated in the present case. Presentation of challan will take considerable time and no useful purpose shall be served by keeping accused in custody. Therefore applicant prayed for grant of bail in interest of Justice.

Upon notice of the application Ld. APP for the State orally opposed the bail application on the ground that accused may abscond and that they have committed a serious offence. Therefore, Ld. APP for the State has prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

I have heard the arguments of both sides and perused the paper book very carefully. The accused is in custody. Accused is not required to be kept in custody as presentation of challan and commencement of trial will take considerable time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the accused in custody instead it would be a burden on state exchequer.

In view of the discussion made above the application stands allowed and accused Manjeet Singh admitted to bail on his furnishing of bail bonds in sum of Rs.35,000/- with one surety in the like amount with conditions that:-

1. He shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
2. He will not misuse the concession of bail and will not temper with the evidence.
3. He will attend the Court as and when required in the present case.
4. He will not try to intimidate the witnesses or complainant.

However accused has requested that due to current situation of noval corona virus pandemic he is unable to arrange surety bonds at this moment and a prayer has been made for grant of time for furnishing surety bonds and releasing him on furnishing personal bonds. Separate statement of accused has also been recorded as per which he has undertaken to furnish

surety bonds after resumption of normal working of court. Keeping in view the prevailing circumstances and non availability of any surety at this amount the request of accused is accepted. As such, accused is released on furnishing of personal bonds while he is directed to furnish the surety bonds after resumption of normal working of court. Personal bonds furnished which are accepted and attested. Accused who is in custody present in court be released forthwith.

Pronounced:
7.10.2020

(Baljinder Kumar)
Stenographer II

(Ramandeep Kaur)
JMIC/Samana (Duty)
UID No.PB-0543