

PBGD010045552026



Presented on : 13-04-2026

Registered on : 13-04-2026

Decided on : 22-04-2026

Duration : 0 years, 0 months, 9 days

**IN THE COURT OF MS. BALJINDER SIDHU, ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS JUDGE, FAST TRACK SPECIAL COURT,
GURDASPUR**

UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION CODE NO. PB0100

Date of Institution: 13.04.2026

Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

CIS no. BA-1897-2026

FIR No. 0002 dated 16.02.2026

U/S 67-B of Information Technology Act

P.S. Cyber Crime Police Station Batala District

Monu son of Ramesh Kumar resident of Dera Baba Nanak, Tehsil Dera
Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur.

---Accused/applicant

Versus

State of Punjab.

1st bail application under Section 482 of BNSS

Present: Sh. Shani Singh Adv., counsel for accused-applicant.
Shri Hardeep Kumar, Additional P.P. for State.

ORDER

1. This order of mine shall dispose off application seeking bail
under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita moved on
behalf of above said accused/applicant.

2. Notice of bail application was given to State, consequent
upon which learned APP for the State put in appearance and contested the
bail application.

3. In brief, the facts of the case are that NCRP (National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal), which was Portal of India 14C (Cyber Crime Coordination Centre) Minister of Home Affairs and upon this, NCMEC (National Centre of Missing & Exploited Children) nobody can upload on social media platforms regarding any kind of obscene material of minor children as tipline report is being generated on transfer. In this regard, when the NCRP portal was opened and checked, the file given in tipline number 193242255 was found on the portal. According to the information given in tipline number 193242255, this video was found to be shared further through mobile number +919781938076. Hence case was registered against unknown person.

4. I have heard counsel for accused-applicant, Additional PP for the State and have also perused the record .

5. The counsel for accused-applicant argued that accused-applicant is innocent and falsely implicated in the case and he has not committed any offence as the FIR was registered against unknown person. It has been further argued that there is unexplained delay of one and half year and nothing is to be recovered from the accused-applicant and prayed for grant him anticipatory bail.

6. On the other hand, learned Additional PP for the State strongly opposed the contentions raised on behalf of the accused-applicant and argued that serious allegations of sending the obscene material on the Social Media have been leveled against the accused/applicant and as such,

he does not deserve the leniency of the court in grant of relief of bail and prayed for its dismissal.

7. After considering the rival contentions of both the parties and perusing the record, this court is of the considered view that specific allegations of uploading the obscene material of a minor child on Social Media have been levelled against the accused-applicant by the complainant. These allegations are quite serious and grave in nature. The FIR in the present case was registered after due enquiry by the Cyber Crime, Batala; the record produced by the investigating agency has also been perused in which obscene material have been uploaded upon social media and after due enquiry, it was found that said material was uploaded by the accused-applicant. Keeping in view these circumstances that the accused-applicant has been nominated after thorough technical investigation and hence he is not entitled for concession of bail because if the accused-applicant is granted bail, there is every likelihood that he may attempt to hamper the process of the investigation in one way or the other and as such bail application of accused/applicant stands dismissed. Papers be consigned to record room.

Date of Order: 22.04.2026
Dilbag Singh Steno-I

(Baljinder Sidhu)
Additional Sessions Judge
Fast Track Special Court
Gurdaspur/UID no. PB0100