

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, COOCH BEHAR**

**Present :** Sri Nirvan Khesong,  
Sessions Judge,  
Cooch Behar.  
J.O. Code WB00662

**Bail Petition No. 405 / 2026**  
**CNR No. WBCB01-000707-2026**

**Order No. 3,**  
**dated 18/04/2026**

In the present application, the accused petitioner namely **Majinur Rahman** has filed an application under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita for granting anticipatory bail in connection with Tufanganj P.S. Case No. 109 / 2026 dated 22/02/2026, under sections 7(1)(a)(ii) of E.C. Act, 1955 read with section 303(2), 317(2), 317(4), 317(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita corresponding to G.R. Case No. 170 of 2026.

Learned advocates for the contesting parties are present.

Case Record of the learned Trial Court and Case Diary have been produced.

So, the bail petition is taken up for hearing.

By swearing affidavit, it has been stated in the bail application by the accused petitioner that prior to filing of the present application, he did not file any application under section 482 of the B.N.S.S. before the Hon'ble High Court or any other superior Court, and no such application is pending or there is no rejection of such prayer by the Hon'ble Court. Learned Public Prosecutor contends that there is no indication in the Case Diary in disputing the aforesaid facts.

Heard Ld. Advocate for the petitioner who submits that in this case, the petitioner is innocent and he has been implicated with some false and fabricated allegations. He further submits that no article was seized from the possession of the petitioner. So, bail may be granted to the petitioner on any condition.

Ld. Public Prosecutor draws my attention to the seizure list at page No.3 and other materials in the Case Diary and has vehemently opposed the prayer.

Perused the Case Diary and other material on record.

(Contd.....)

**Contd..... Order No. 3,  
dated 18/04/2026**

On perusal of the materials in the Case Diary, specially the seizure list of Kerosene oil, I find sufficient materials against this accused person showing his involvement in the commission of offence.

Considering the materials in the Case Diary against this accused / petitioner and gravity of the offence, I am of the opinion that custodial interrogation of this accused petitioner is necessary.

Accordingly, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the petitioner under section 482 of the B.N.S.S.

Hence, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely **Majinur Rahman** is considered and **rejected**.

Thus, the bail petition is disposed of.

Case Diary and the Case Record of the learned Trial Court be returned at once.

Dictated and corrected by me,

**Sd/-**  
Sessions Judge ,  
Cooch Behar.

**Sd/- Nirvan Khesong**  
Sessions Judge,  
Cooch Behar