

Sessions Case No. 61/2025
GR. No. 573/2025
CNR no-WBBK09000316 2025

State Vs. Laltu Hansda

In connection with Simlapal P.S. Case No. 56 of 2025, dated- 03.08.2025
U/Ss 64(1) of the BNS.

Order No. 08
Dated 05.05.2026

Today is fixed for hearing of the petition dated 18.04.2026 filed on behalf of the accused Laltu Hansda praying for bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused is present before the court.

Ld. PP is also present before the court.

CD is produced before the court.

Ld. Advocate for the accused moves the instant petition for bail of the above named accused.

It is submitted on behalf of both the parties that no application for bail of the accused was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta nor any prayer for bail of the accused is pending before the Hon'ble court or any other higher forum.

It is submitted that the accused has been suffering custody since 03.08.2025. It is also submitted that the investigation is over and there is no chance of tampering evidence and hampering investigation and so that by detaining the accused in custody no purpose of the prosecution will be served.

It is also submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the accused that there are contradictions in the statements of the witnesses made before the IO and to the Ld. Judicial Magistrate, Khatra.

It is further submitted that there is some delay in lodging the complaint and that delay is not properly explained by the de facto complainant.

Ld. Defence Counsel advances that there are so many inconsistencies in the allegation of the de facto complainant against the accused.

Ld. Advocate submits that the allegation is totally false and the accused has suffered sufficient custody and by detaining him no purpose of the prosecution will be served and the accused will face the trial. It is also submitted that he will not flee from justice and in view of that the accused should be enlarged on bail in any terms and conditions as per the discretion of the court.

On the other hand, Ld. Public Prosecutor-in-charge raises vehement objection against the prayer for bail of the accused submitting inter alia that the allegation against the accused is direct, very serious and grievous. Ld. PP emphatically submits that the victim suffered bleeding injury and she got admitted for some days due to the commission of the offence against her.

It is also submitted that the medical evidence directly supports the case of the prosecution and the witnesses strongly supported the prosecution case and the accused has

Contd...P-2

//2//

blatantly committed the offence against the victim and in view of the above facts and circumstances, the prayer for bail of the accused should be rejected.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, materials in the CD, submission of the respective parties, nature of allegation, medical report, social impact of the alleged offence, statements U/S 183 of the BNSS, statements U/S 180 of the BNSS and ultimately taking into account the interest of justice from a wider perspective, this court does not find any convincing reason to entertain instant prayer of the accused.

Therefore, considering the entire gamut of the situation the prayer for bail of the accused Laltu Hansda stands rejected.

The instant petition, dated 18.04.2026 for bail of the accused Laltu Hansda is accordingly disposed of.

Return the CD.

To date.

Dictated and Corrected by me

A.S.J
Khatra, Bankura

Additional Sessions Judge,
Khatra, Dist.- Bankura
J.O. Code WB00638