

S.C. No. 61/2025
GR. 573/2025
CNR no-WBBK09000 316 2025

State Vs. Laltu Hansda

In connection with Simlapal P.S. Case No. 56 of 2025 dated 03.08.2025 U/Ss 64 (1) of the BNS

Order No. 03
Dated 27.11.2025

Today is fixed for hearing of bail petition dated : 20-11-2025 filed on behalf of the accused namely Laltu Hansda.

Ld. Advocate for the accused moves the instant petition.

Ld. P.P. In-charge is present before the court.

It is submitted on behalf of both the parties that no application for bail of the accused was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta nor any application for bail of this accused is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta or any other higher forum.

It is submitted that on behalf of the accused that this accused has been falsely implicated with this case by the de facto complainant. It is advanced that the detention of the accused in custody is huge.

Ld. Advocate further submits that the incident occurred on 01-08-2025 and the complaint was lodged on 03-08-2025 and since then the accused is in custody.

It is further submitted that there is no special educator to learn the statement of the victim.

Ld. Advocate emphatically submits that the investigation is over, charge sheet is submitted and the case is committed to this court, so there is no chance of tampering evidence.

Ld. Advocate also submits that by detaining the accused in custody, no purpose of the prosecution will be served and considering the period of detention, the accused should be enlarged on bail in any term and condition.

On the other hand Ld. P.P In-charge raises vehement objection against the prayer for bail of the accused submitting inter alia that the allegation against the accused is very direct and very heinous in nature.

It is also submitted that there are so many incriminating materials in the statements of the witnesses which provide direct incriminating materials against the accused. At the time of his submission, Ld. Advocate brings the fact that the victim was bleeding when she came out from the PO after the incident and the delay in lodging FIR is not the matter to be decided at this stage and so that, taking into account the seriousness of allegation, the prayer for bail of the accused should be rejected.

On perusal of the record, it is seen that the allegation against the accused is direct and it is very heinous in nature. It is also seen that the statements recorded by the IO under section 180 of the BNSS provide direct incriminating materials against the accused.

Contd.....P/2

//2//

It is further seen that the victim is a mentally challenged girl and the incident is prima facie is very painful having social, moral and legal impact.

On scrutiny of the CD, it appears that there are huge incriminating materials against the accused.

Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, materials in the record, submission of the respective parties, nature and gravity of the alleged offences, materials including the injury report of the BSMCH, Bankura appearing the CD, fact that the victim is a mentally challenged girl and at the same time taking into account the broader interest of justice to the parties, this court does not find any ground to entertain the instant prayer for bail of the accused.

In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the accused **Laltu Hansda** stands rejected.

The instant petition praying for bail of the accused is accordingly disposed of.

Return the CD.

To date (30.01.2026)

Dictated and Corrected by me

A.S.J
Khatra, Bankura

Additional Sessions Judge,
Khatra, Dist.- Bankura
J.O. Code WB00638

