

Special Case- 15/17

Order No. 36,  
Date- 12.6.18.

Record is taken up for hearing of bail application.

Hd. Ld. Advocate for the accused person namely Apurba Tudu with reference to the petition for bail when he submitted that there was no missing diary since prior to the lodging F.I.R and the entire story of F.I.R is not believable and this accused was not any way involved in the alleged crime. This accused is in custody for 10 months and investigation has already been culminated in submission of charge-sheet.

Also heard Ld. Advocate for the accused namely Kanchani Tudu and Sailendra Kumar when he submitted that accused Kanchani Tudu is residing at the locality and as such there is no chance of her absconcion. Accused Kanchani Tudu has been languishing in jail custody for 7 months and accused Sailendra Kumar is in jail custody for 9 months.

Ld. P.P. in-charge raised vehement objection against the prayer for bail.

Perused the petitions for bail, case record and C.D.

Considering the role attributed to the aforesaid accused persons so reflected in the case diary and considering the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the aforesaid accused persons on bail. Consequently the prayer for bail of accused persons namely Apurba Tudu , Kanchani @ Babli Tudu and Sailendra Kumar is considered and rejected.

To date i.e. **20.6.18.**

D/C by me.  
Sd/- Subhasis Ghosh,  
A.S.J.

Sd/- Subhasis Ghosh,  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
And Judge, Special Court under POCSO Act,  
Khatra, Bankura.

12.6.18.